



Fiscal Year 2025 - 2034 Transportation Improvement Program



Greenville-Pickens Area Transportation Study

Prepared by GPATS Staff

Adopted by Policy Committee - **DRAFT**

The preparation of this report has been financed in part through grant(s) from the Federal Highway Administration and Federal Transit Administration, U.S. Department of Transportation, under the State Planning and Research Program, Section 505 [or Metropolitan Planning Program, Section 104(f)] of Title 23, U.S. Code. The contents of this report do not necessarily reflect the official views or policy of the U.S. Department of Transportation.

Contents

INTRODUCTION	1
PROCESS	3
PRODUCTS	5
TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM (TIP).....	5
LONG-RANGE TRANSPORTATION PLAN (LRTP).....	5
UNIFIED PLANNING WORK PROGRAM (UPWP).....	5
PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT	6
PERFORMANCE MEASURES AND TARGETS	7
SAFETY	7
TRANSIT ASSET MANAGEMENT (TAM).....	8
INFRASTRUCTURE CONDITION	9
SYSTEM & FREIGHT RELIABILITY	10
FUNDING	12
GUIDESHARE FUNDS	12
EXEMPT PROJECTS.....	12
TRANSPORTATION ALTERNATIVE PROGRAM PROJECTS.....	12
STATE INFRASTRUCTURE BANK PROJECTS	13
FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION PROJECTS.....	14
TIP AMENDMENT PROCESS	15
TYPES OF TIP AMENDMENTS.....	15
MINOR AMENDMENT	16
MAJOR AMENDMENT	17
CERTIFICATION	18
GPATS TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM FINANCIAL STATEMENT	19
GUIDESHARE PROGRAM	27
Project: SC-183 Intersection Improvements (Farrs Bridge Road (SC-183) Improvement between SC-135 and Saluda River)	29
Project: Batesville Road (S-164) (between SC-14 to Roper Mountain Rd)	30
Project: Woodruff Road (SC-146) (between Scuffletown Rd to Bennetts Bridge Rd).....	32
Project: Roper Mountain Extension (S-547) (between Pelham Road to Roper Mountain Rd)	33
Project: Roper Mountain Road (S-548) (between Roper Mountain Extension to Garlington Rd).....	34
Project: Butler Road (S-107) (between Bridges Road to US-276).....	35
Project: Batesville Road (S-164) Phase II (between Pelham Road to The Parkway).....	36
Project: Woodruff Road Congestion Relief (Verdae Blvd. to Smith Hines Road).....	37
Project: Garlington Road Widening (between SC-146 to Roper Mountain Rd)	38
Project: US-29/Mills Avenue (between from Augusta St to Stevens St)	39
Project: Grove Road (between US-25 to W Faris Rd)	40
Project: Laurens Road (between I-85 to Innovation Dr).....	41
Project: Academy St / US-123 (between Pendleton St to Washington Ave)	42
Project: Wade Hampton (from Pine Knoll Dr to Reid School Rd)	43
Project: SC-296 Reidville Road (between SC-290 to SC-146 Woodruff Road)	44
Project: SC-183 Farrs Bridge Road (US-25 White Horse Road to Alex Drive/Saluda View Drive) Widening ..	45
Project: Fairview Road from Grandview Drive to SC-418	46
Project: St. Mark Road (Wade Hampton to Locust Hill Road).....	47

Project:	Woodruff Rd/I-85 Interchange Ramp Modifications (SC-146/Woodruff Road at I-85 Interchange Ramp Modifications)	48
Project:	Woodruff Rd (SC 146) and Garlington/Miller (SC-146/Woodruff Road at Miller Rd/Garlington Rd)	49
Project:	Haywood Rd and Pelham Rd	50
Project:	Pleasantburg Dr and Rutherford Rd	51
Project:	Whitehorse Rd / W Blue Ridge Rd	52
Project:	E Blue Ridge Dr / State Park Rd and Poinsett Hwy	53
Project:	Laurens Rd / Woodruff Rd	54
Project:	Rutherford Rd / James St and W Earle St	55
Project:	Main Street / Ann Street / Pendleton Street	56
Project:	US-25 / Bessie Road (SC-86) / Sandy Springs Road	57
Project:	W. Georgia Road (S-172) / E. Standing Springs Road	58
Project:	Mauldin Golden Strip Gateway	60
Project:	Augusta St Area Bike Network	61
Project:	City of Easley Doodle Trail Extension	62
Project:	City of Greer and Taylors Greenway	63
Project:	Travelers Rest Bike/Ped Network Expansion	64
TRANSIT CAPITAL PROJECT		65
Project:	GTA – Greenlink Capital	66
GUIDESHARE TRAFFIC SIGNAL RETIMING CORRIDORS		67
NON-GUIDESHARE (OTHER EXEMPT PROJECTS)		68
Project:	Greenville County Poinsett Corridor Pedestrian and Lighting (US-276/Poinsett Hwy between S-23-21/Rutherford Rd & US-25/Hwy-25)	70
Project:	S-1136 (Perimeter Rd) Resurfacing/Rehabilitation (between 34.744535, -82.386863 & Old N Fork Shoals Rd)	71
Project:	SC-93 at Clayton St – Town of Central	72
Project:	Doodle Trail Extension and Amenities	73
Project:	Mineral Spring Trail - Town Of Williamston	74
Project:	Clemson Biking and Walking Trail – Segment 2 (between Perimeter Rd & S-39-115)	75
Project:	West Georgia Road (S-272) from Neely Ferry Rd to Fork Shoals (S-23-541/W Georgia Rd between S-23-958/Neely Ferry Rd & SC-146/Fork Shoals Rd)	76
Project:	Woodside Streetscape (Diamond Tip Blvd/Shaw St/Woodside Ave/S-23-211/Jones St between Fairview St & Wall St)	77
Project:	SC-183 Farris Bridge Road (Alex Dr./Saluda View Dr. to SC-135) Widening	78
Project:	Parallel Swamp Rabbit Trail in Unity Park	79
Project:	Green Crescent Trail, Clemson Park Loop	80
Project:	Bolick/Cannon Trail, Town of Central	81
Project:	The Pavilion Miniature Rail-Trail	82
Project:	Green Crescent Trail, Gateway Park Connector	83
Project:	Conestee Park Mountain Biking – Conestee Nature Preserve	84
Project:	Gilder Creek Multi-use Trail – City of Mauldin	85
Project:	Nicholtown Mountain Bike and Hike Trail	86
Project:	I-85 Safety Improvements MM 30 to MM 60 (I-85 between SC-8/Easley Hwy & SC-101)	87
Project:	Bridge S-23-384 at Brushy Creek (S-23-384/Shannon Dr Bridge over Brushy Creek between Lake Fairfield Dr & Linwood Ave)	88
Project:	Bridge S-23-125 over Saluda River	89
Project:	Bridge S-4-931 over Big Creek	90
Project:	Bridge S-39-140 over Shoal Creek	91
Project:	Bridge S-39-250 over Doddies Creek	92
Project:	Bridge US-123 SB over Georges Creek	93
Project:	Bridge US-124 over Georges Creek	94

Project:	Bridge SC-183 over Twelve Mile Creek	95
Project:	Bridge SC-183 over Gregory/Cannon Creek	96
Project:	Bridge S-42-31 over Peters Creek	97
Project:	Bridge S-37-51 over Snow Creek	98
Project:	Bridge S-23-41 over Middle Saluda	99
Project:	Bridge S-23-40 over South Saluda	100
Project:	Bridge S-37-133 over Little Cane Creek	101
Project:	Bridge S-42-197 over South Tyger River	102
Project:	Bridge S-39-160 over Tributary to Keowee River	103
Project:	Bridge S-23-310 over Tributary to Richland Creek	104
Project:	Bridge S-23-102 over Armstrong Creek	105
Project:	Bridge S-39-32 over Cedar Creek	106
Project:	Bridge S-23-94 over Tributary to Enoree River	107
Project:	Bridge S-23-149 (W Faris Road) over Brushy Creek	108
Project:	Bridge S-23-80 (S. Hudson Street) over Reedy River	109
Project:	Bridge SC-124 Old Easley Hwy over Saluda River	110
Project:	Bridge SC-418 over Huff Creek	111
Project:	Bridge US-29 Wade Hampton Blvd over Mtn. Creek	112
Project:	Bridge US-276 Geer Hwy over Middle Saluda River	113
Project:	Bridge SC-8 Easley Hwy over US-29	114
Project:	Bridge SC-81 Anderson Rd over Saluda River & S-4-143	115
Project:	Bridge SC-146 N. Hwy over Enoree River	116
Project:	Bridge SC-135 Dacusville Hwy over Shoals Creek	117
Project:	Bridge S-277 over Twelve Mile Creek	118
Project:	Bridge S-250 over Machine Creek	119
Project:	S-23-279 - Reid School Rd (between 34.928487, -82.313788 & US-29/Wade Hampton Blvd)	120
Project:	SC-146 Corridor (S-23-183 to S-23-654) (SC-146/Woodruff Rd between S-23-183/Roper Mountain Rd & S-23-654/Bagwell Rd)	121
Project:	US-25 Corridor (I-85 to S-23-782) (US-25/White Horse Rd between I-85 & S-23-782/Lily St)	122
Project:	Intersection Improvement S-23-83 (Old Grove Rd) / L-27 (Bracken Rd)	123
Project:	Intersection Improvement S-42-908 / L-745(Gap Creek Road / Hampton Rd)	124
Project:	Intersection Improvement SC-135 (Dacusville Hwy) / S-95 (Jameson Rd)	125
Project:	Intersection Improvement SC-81 (Anderson Rd) / S-23-327 (Old Dunham Bridge Rd)	126
Project:	Intersection Improvement US-29 / S-4-96 (Welcome Rd)	127
Project:	Intersection Improvement SC-81(Anderson Rd) / L-183 (McNeely Rd)	128
Project:	Intersection Improvement SC-81 (Anderson Rd) / L-912 (Cely Ln)	129
Project:	Intersection Improvement SC-20 with S-23-260	130
Project:	Intersection Improvement SC-290 (Locust Hill Rd) / S-173 (Tigerville Rd)	131
Project:	US-276 with S-23-3	132
Project:	US-123 with SC-124	133
Project:	SC-81 with S-23-149	134
Project:	S-23-540 with L-1969	135
Project:	SC-183 (Farrs Bridge Rd) / S-39-55 (Ireland Rd)	136
Project:	US-25 / US-25 Conn	137
Project:	SC-183 to MP 4.2 to 6 (Cedar Lane Rd)	138
Project:	RSA US-29	139
Project:	SC-291 N Pleasantburg Dr & White Oak Dr	140
Project:	US-29 Corridor Signal Retiming (US-29/Mills Ave, Church St, & Wade Hampton Blvd between Henrydale Ave & S-42-908/Gap Creek Rd)	141
Project:	I-85 (Widening from SC-153 to Near SC-85) (I-85 between SC-153/Exit 40 & I-85 Business/Exit 69)	142
Project:	I-85 at I-385 (Exit 51)	143
Project:	I-85 at Rocky Creek Bridge (I-85 between I-385 & Pelham Rd)	144
Project:	S-664 (River Street/Richardson Street) MP 0.23 – MP 1.07	145

Project: US 29 (Wade Hampton Boulevard) MP 11.3 – MP 12.06	146
Project: US 276 (Poinsett Highway) MP 32.38 – MP 33.24	147
FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION (FTA) APPORTIONMENTS	148
TRANSIT PROJECTS FUNDED THROUGH FTA APPORTIONMENT	148
TRANSPORTATION ALTERNATIVES	150
TIP NOTICE	152

DRAFT

List of Figures

Figure 1: GPATS Study Area.....	2
---------------------------------	---

DRAFT

Introduction

The Greenville-Pickens Area Transportation Study (GPATS) is the Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) for the Greenville urbanized area. GPATS serves parts of five counties: Greenville, Pickens, Spartanburg, Anderson, and Laurens (see Map on the following page).


Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) and Federal Transit Administration (FTA) regulations require all urbanized areas with populations of 50,000 or more to create an MPO. Each MPO must develop a cooperative, comprehensive, and continuing transportation planning process in order to qualify for Federal funding for transportation projects.

Three major products developed by GPATS are a Transportation Improvement Program (TIP), a Long-Range Transportation Plan (LRTP), and a Unified Planning Work Program (UPWP). Opportunities for public input are advertised, and public comments are actively solicited for each of these planning documents.

The TIP is a short-range program that schedules projects to be funded in the next ten years and indicates project priorities. Projects move from the LRTP to the TIP based on the priorities established in the LRTP. The TIP includes only those projects for which funding is available, and a project cannot be included in the TIP unless it is in the LRTP. The TIP draws projects from all of the various components of the LRTP and schedules them for implementation.

Projects included in the GPATS TIP are scheduled for implementation over FY 2025-2034. When projects are added to the TIP, they are prioritized based on area-wide needs and in accordance with SC Act 114. Project priorities result from the placement of the project in the long-range plan, funding availability, and the scheduling requirements of the state and federal governments. Highway projects are included in the TIP to reflect activities ranging from pre-construction activities, right of way acquisition, and construction. Transit projects also are included to reflect planning, operating expenses, and capital funds. Most large projects are funded in phases over several years, while smaller projects may be fully funded in a single year in the TIP. Regardless of schedule, a project must be included in the TIP in order to be eligible for funding. When a project advances to the TIP, initial planning for the project has been completed, and implementation begins.

Figure 1: GPATS Study Area



Counties

Greenville County
Pickens County
Spartanburg County
Laurens County

Easley
Liberty
Pickens

Municipalities

Greenville
Greer
Mauldin
Simpsonville
Fountain Inn
Traveler's Rest

Process

GPATS is composed of four committees: A Policy Committee, Study Team, Transit Coordinating Committee, and Bicycle and Pedestrian Coordinating Committee that administers the planning activities and reviews MPO programs and projects. The transportation planning staff also attends, or is involved with, other municipal boards, commissions, and resource agencies.

The Policy Committee is the decision-making body that ultimately approves plans, projects, and funding. The Policy Committee consists of 28 voting members; 25 are city, county, and state elected officials, two are SCDOT transportation commissioners who represent the GPATS area, and one is the chair of the Greenville Transit Authority. Ex-officio members of the Policy Committee include county planning directors, Commissioners, and CTC Chairs. Policy Committee members are listed below.

Representation	Name
Pickens Legislative Delegation	Sen. Rex Rice (Chair)
Greenville Legislative Delegation	Sen. Ross Turner (Vice-Chair)
Greenville Legislative Delegation	Sen. Karl B. Allen
Greenville Legislative Delegation	Rep. Jason Elliott
Greenville Legislative Delegation	Rep. Alan Morgan
Greenville Legislative Delegation	Rep. David Vaughan
Pickens Legislative Delegation	Rep. Neal Collins
Anderson Legislative Delegation	Sen. Richard Cash
SCDOT Commissioner, District 3	Pamela Christopher
SCDOT Commissioner, District 4	Max Metcalf
Greenville County Council	Ennis Fant
Greenville County Council	Butch Kirven
Greenville County Council	Joey Russo
Greenville County Council	Liz Seman
Greenville County Council	Dan Tripp
Anderson County Council	Jimmy Davis
Pickens County Council	C. Claiborne Linvill
Pickens County Council	Henry Wilson
Mayor of Greenville	Knox White
Mayor of Greer	Rick Danner
Mayor of Simpsonville	Paul Shewmaker
Mayor of Mauldin	Terry Merritt
Mayor of Travelers Rest	Brandy Amidon
Mayor of Fountain Inn	G.P. McLeer
Mayor of Easley	Lisa Talbert
Mayor of Pickens	Fletcher Perry
Mayor of Liberty	Erica Romo Woods
Greenville Transit Authority Board Chair	Walker Smith

The Study Team develops and reviews planning documents, projects, plans and makes recommendations to the Policy Committee. The Study Team consists of the technical staff from city and county governments, Greenville Transit Authority (GTA), Appalachian COG, SCDOT, FHWA, and FTA. Staff from other resource agencies – including the S.C. Department of Health and Environmental Control, Bureau of Air Quality and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency – is involved in Study Team meetings on an as-needed basis.

The Transit Coordinating Committee (TCC) meets to discuss, coordinate, and recommend transit projects and policies for GPATS. Members include staff from GPATS, GTA/Greenlink, and jurisdictions served by transit.

The Bicycle and Pedestrian Coordinating Committee (BPCC) meets to discuss and make recommendations about projects, programs, and policies related to bike and pedestrian infrastructure needs in the GPATS study area. Committee members include staff from GPATS and local jurisdictions in the GPATS Study Team.

Products

Transportation Improvement Program (TIP)

The TIP is developed every two years and allows local and state officials to set priorities for spending federal highway and transit funds available to the GPATS region. The TIP is developed cooperatively with the South Carolina Department of Transportation. Projects cannot be placed in the TIP unless they are first included in the LRTP. Once the TIP is approved by GPATS Policy Committee and the South Carolina Transportation Commission, the TIP is submitted to FHWA and FTA for review.

The priority of the projects in the TIP is established through consultation between the Study Team and Policy Committee. The Study Team ranks projects based on mobility and accessibility needs, safety and security, economic and community vitality, environmental protection, improved connectivity among transportation modes, efficient system management, and maintenance and preservation of the transportation infrastructure. The Policy Committee may adjust and modify the Study Team recommendations based on policy considerations and available funding.

Long-Range Transportation Plan (LRTP)

The LRTP describes the policies, strategies, and facilities proposed for construction in the metropolitan planning area during the next 25 years. The LRTP recommends transportation projects that will provide for the transportation needs of the area. The plan includes a listing of all transportation projects that can be built with the federal, state, and local funding that is expected to be available.

The LRTP includes highway construction projects, congestion management projects, freight-related projects, mass transit projects, and bicycle and pedestrian projects. Projects are evaluated and ranked based on mobility and accessibility needs, safety and security, economic and community vitality, environmental protection, improved connectivity among transportation modes, efficient system management, and maintenance and preservation of the transportation infrastructure.

Unified Planning Work Program (UPWP)

The UPWP is the element of the planning process that identifies the planning activities to be completed by GPATS staff and outside consultants. All planning activities must be listed in the UPWP to be eligible for funding. The GPATS UPWP is updated annually.

Public Involvement

GPATS follows a Participation Plan that details the approach and framework to the public involvement process and activities that are followed to ensure full and effective public engagement in the planning process. A variety of methods are used to solicit public input, including small group presentations, news releases, distribution of printed and electronic newsletters, surveys, public workshops, development of corridor studies and area plans, and public hearings.

DRAFT

Performance Measures and Targets

Performance management involves using performance-based planning and programming to make investment and policy decisions. Performance-Based Planning and Programming (PBPP) refers to the methods utilized by transportation agencies to apply performance management to their planning and programming processes, ensuring long-term and short-term transportation investment decisions are based on the ability to meet goals. This includes using detailed data collected from the system to measure trends, set targets, and to monitor if those targets are being met. As a federal requirement, the State and local Metropolitan Planning Organizations (MPOs) are responsible for developing Long-Range Transportation Plans (LRTPs) and Transportation Improvement Programs (TIPs) using this performance-driven, outcome-based approach to planning.

Regional performance must be monitored in seven national goal areas: Safety, Infrastructure Condition, System Reliability, Freight Movement and Economic Vitality, Congestion Reduction, Environmental Stability, and Reduced Project Delivery Delay. At this time, only a small number of these goal areas have measures and targets associated with them by the State. These are listed below.

Safety

South Carolina has the highest fatality rate in the nation. It is abnormally high when compared to the National fatality rate and the rate of other Southeastern states. In an attempt to combat rising trends, South Carolina created a Strategic Highway Safety Plan called Target Zero and sets and monitors safety performance targets as required by FAST Act legislation. SCDOT has begun conducting safety audits for the State's MPOs as a tool to enable regional entities to combat safety problems as well. A regional safety audit for the GPATS region can be found online here: <http://gpats.org/Programs/Safety.aspx>

Currently, there are twenty-nine projects in the safety category alone across the Counties of Greenville, Pickens, Laurens, Anderson, and Spartanburg in the State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP). These include everything from interstate safety improvements to standard intersection safety improvements. On August 31st, 2021, the South Carolina Department of Transportation (SCDOT) set new annual safety targets for five measures: Traffic Fatalities, Fatality Rate, Severe Injuries, Severe Injury Rate, and Non-Motorized Fatalities and Severe Injuries combined. The targets are based on baseline information generated using an analysis of data relating to each measure using a five-year rolling average. The state estimated reductions in fatalities and severe injuries by looking at existing and planned safety initiatives and set the safety targets below. These targets were adopted by the Greenville-Pickens Area Transportation Study (GPATS) Policy Committee on October 18th, 2021. These targets will inform all decisions made in the TIP and LRTP and can be found in the tables below, along with Transit Safety Targets adopted by regional transit agencies in their safety plans.

Projects implementing safety improvements will receive extra points in the prioritization process. For example, projects like the US-29 corridor improvement project (#2 in Horizon2040) and the Wade Hampton Access Management project (#6 in Horizon2040) will help eliminate curb cuts and left turns and theoretically decrease collisions at these points of conflict. These safety improvements layered on extra points to these projects during the ranking process. Safety projects are not limited by any boundaries. Just as intersection improvements on SC-153 in Anderson County, which can be currently seen in the GPATS TIP, received safety points from GPATS, the intersection improvement project of US-76 and S-72 in Laurens County targets similar problems and received safety points from the State.

Safety Targets and Baseline Data					
	# Traffic Fatalities	Fatality Rate*	# Severe Injuries	Severe Injury Rate*	# Non-Motorized Fatalities and Severe Injuries
SC Baseline (2016 – 2020)	1023	1.838	2877.2	5.162	440.8
GPATS Baseline (2016 – 2020)	100.4	1.67	325.6	5.404	52.4
SC 2022 Targets	1061	1.82	2850	4.892	500

*Fatality rate and severe injury rate are based on the traffic fatalities, or severe injuries per 100 million vehicle miles traveled.

2021 Transit Safety Data								
Transit Agency	Mode of Transit Service	Fatalities (Total)	Fatality Rate*	Severe Injuries (Total)	Severe Injury Rate*	Safety Events (Total)	Safety Event Rate*	System Reliability**
CATbus	Fixed Route	0	0.00	8.5	1.44	19.5	3.32	10,527
	Demand Response/ Paratransit	0	0.00	1	0.10	3	0.30	16,002
Greenlink	Fixed Route	0	0.00	15	1.72	19	2.26	37,383
	Demand Response/ Paratransit	0	0.00	1	0.94	1	1.56	32,073

*Rates are based on the unit per 100 thousand vehicle revenue miles

**Reliability is determined by vehicle revenue miles/failures per 100 thousand miles

Transit Asset Management (TAM)

Transit Asset Management (TAM) Plans have been employed by the State and local transit agencies to inform the distribution of transit funds. Transit funding decisions are based on the condition of transit assets in an attempt to maintain a state of good repair. South Carolina has created a Group TAM Plan for rural transit agencies in the State, but these plans are generally done in-house by larger transit agencies and are then supported by the local MPO. The state of an asset is determined by measuring the percentage of each asset class that has met or exceeded its useful life benchmark (ULB).

Greenlink, the Greenville area's transit agency, and Clemson Area Transit have set their own targets. They can be found below. Targets for FY 2021 and 2022 can be found in their TAM Plans. GPATS is not required to create a TAM Plan of its own, as the MPO is only the designated recipient of FTA funds. However, GPATS has adopted the targets set by the region's transit agencies. All transit funding decisions made in the TIP and LRTP will consider these targets moving forward.

Greenlink 2021 Transit Asset Management Targets			
Category	Class	Performance Measure	Target
Rolling Stock	Bus	% of rolling stock that has met or exceeded ULB	20%
	Trolley Bus	% of rolling stock that has met or exceeded ULB	0%
	Cutaway Bus	% of rolling stock that has met or exceeded ULB	75%
Equipment	SUV	% of vehicles that have met or exceeded their ULB	65%

	Van	% of vehicles that have met or exceeded their ULB	0%
	Truck	% of vehicles that have met or exceeded their ULB	0%
	Car	% of vehicles that have met or exceeded their ULB	0%
Facilities	100 W. McBee (Terminal)	% of facilities with a condition rating below 3.0 on TERM Scale	0%
	154 Augusta St (Maintenance Garage)	% of facilities with a condition rating below 3.0 on TERM Scale	0%

Clemson Area Transit 2021 Transit Asset Management Targets			
Category	Class	Performance Measure	Target
Rolling Stock	Articulated Bus	% of revenue vehicles that have met or exceeded their ULB	0%
	Bus	% of revenue vehicles that have met or exceeded their ULB	20%
Equipment	Trucks and other Rubber Tire Vehicles	% of vehicles that have met or exceeded their ULB	0%
Facilities	Administration	% of facilities with a condition rating below 3.0 on TERM Scale	0%

Infrastructure Condition

South Carolina, which has one of the largest state-owned roadway systems in the United States, is in need of extensive infrastructure repair and replacement. Federal Regulations required state departments of transportations (DOTs) to establish and report quadrennial (4-year) targets for six infrastructure condition performance measures by May 20th, 2018. SCDOT created 4 –year targets for Interstate pavement condition and 2- and 4-year targets for non-Interstate pavement condition and bridge conditions.

Pavement condition was calculated by comparing road segments to multiple different thresholds, including the International Roughness Index (IRI), percent cracking, percent rutting, and percent faulting on a scale of good to poor. If all metrics rated “good,” the segment was considered in good condition. If two or more metrics rated “poor,” the segment was considered in poor condition. Anything in between was considered fair condition. The targets were set using the average deterioration rates of the system while considering existing and planned construction projects in the region.

Bridge condition was measured similarly, but with the following thresholds: deck condition, superstructure condition, substructure condition, and culvert condition on a scale of 0-9. Scores of 4 or below were considered poor condition, while scores of 7-9 were considered good condition. Any scores in the middle were considered fair condition. Targets were set using the average bridge deterioration rate while considering existing and planned construction projects expected to be completed within the monitoring timeframe.

This measure is impacted the most by resurfacings, which are generally handled by SCDOT. Projects such as the bridge replacement on Mount Lebanon Church Road over the Middle Tyger River and one of its tributaries in Spartanburg put down fresh new infrastructure and pavement and ultimately create a more pleasant experience for roadway users. Any project completed will improve the infrastructure in that area, especially if the infrastructure was in poor condition to begin with. A great example of this is the I-85/I-385 Gateway project, which upon completion, has added a wealth of good condition pavement along with altogether new infrastructure. GPATS Policy Committee elected to adopt and support the State targets on October 15th, 2018. These targets can be seen below and will inform all funding decisions made in the GPATS TIP and LRTP.

Infrastructure Condition Targets and Baseline Data			
	Pavement (Interstate)	Pavement (Non-Interstate NHS)	Bridges
SC Baseline (2016 Average)	61.4% Good Condition 1.7% Poor Condition	10% Good Condition 2.6% Poor Condition	41.6% Good Condition 4.2% Poor Condition
GPATS Baseline (2016 Average)	68.67% Good Condition 0.36% Poor Condition	14.9% Good 4.3% Poor	42.2% Good 4% Poor
SC 2-Year Targets	N/A	14.9% Good Condition 4.3% Poor Condition	42.2% Good Condition 4% Poor Condition
SC-4 Year Targets	71% Good Condition 3% Poor Condition	21.1% Good Condition 4.6% Poor Condition	42.7% Good Condition 6% Poor Condition

System & Freight Reliability

System reliability refers to the amount of time a user spends traveling through a corridor and whether this time is consistent with the travel time the road was designed to facilitate. Federal regulations required state DOTs to establish and report 4- year targets for three system and truck travel time reliability performance measures by May 20th, 2018.

Travel Time Reliability was measured based on four different time categories: 6 am to 10 am, 10 am to 4 pm, and 4 pm to 8 pm on both weekdays and weekends. Once all categories were recorded and sorted, the 80th percentile was divided by the 50th percentile to create a ratio. A ratio with a value of 1 was considered reliable, while a value of 0 was considered unreliable. This was used to calculate the percentage of person-miles considered reliable and unreliable. This data informed the targets while also considering baseline data and ongoing and expected construction projects in the state.

Truck travel time reliability had a similar process with the same time categories but used the Truck Travel Time Reliability Index (TTTR). The 95th percentile was divided by the 50th percentile for each segment. The largest TTTR ratio was found for each segment and multiplied by the segment length. The sum of all the length-weighted segments was then divided by the total length of the interstate in question to get the TTTR Index Number. Like before, future targets were set by considering ongoing and expected construction projects in the State. GPATS Policy Committee

elected to adopt and support the State targets on October 15th, 2018. The targets can be found below. These targets will be considered when ranking all future projects in the LRTP and TIP.

Projects such as the Woodruff Road Congestion Relief project and SC-153 improvements will help these corridors remain reliable at all times of day for both traditional and freight traffic. Woodruff Road is a highly trafficked corridor, especially during peak hours. Woodruff Road's freight presence to service the commercial and retail uses along the corridor presents stresses of its own. The construction of a parallel road will give thru traffic a better alternative and, theoretically, limit traffic on Woodruff Road to those seeking businesses in particular stretches. SC-153 has a similar context as well. The intersection improvements going in at River Road and Old Pendleton Road, in combination with the extension continuing past US-123, will serve to alleviate bottlenecks and provide alternatives for regional thru traffic.

Projects are already being completed that are helping improve system reliability. One of the most notable examples is the I-85/I-385 Gateway Project. This Interstate interchange project, which officially reached substantial completion in December 2019, eliminated a bottleneck created by traffic attempting to exit I-85 to I-385 and/or Woodruff Road. This traffic used to merge together in a very small space and take one exit. The interchange improvements included a series of fly-over bridges, along with an ample amount of time to merge into the correct lane, and have created a significant boost to system reliability for both general road users and freight.

System and Freight Reliability Targets and Baseline Data			
	Travel Time Reliability (Interstate)	Travel Time Reliability (Non-Interstate NHS)	Truck Travel Time Reliability
SC Baseline	94.8% person-miles traveled that are reliable	89.8% person-miles traveled that are reliable	1.34 on TTTR Index
GPATS Baseline	89% person-miles traveled that are reliable	92% person-miles traveled that are reliable	1.58 on TTTR Index
SC 2-Year Target	91% person-miles traveled that are reliable	N/A	1.36 on TTTR Index
SC 4-Year Target	90% person-miles traveled that are reliable	81% person-miles traveled that are reliable	1.45 on TTTR Index

Funding

GPATS projects are funded through federal and state transportation funds. Several sources of funds are involved in projects that appear in the TIP.

Guideshare Funds

Funds for road improvements are allocated by SCDOT through the Guideshare Program. The South Carolina Transportation Commission sets aside approximately \$213 million of FHWA and SCDOT funds each year and distributes the funds among the state's eleven MPOs and Councils of Governments based on population and vehicle miles of travel in each region. The Guideshare sets the annual budget for highway improvements within each MPO or COG, and total project costs in any given year normally cannot exceed the Guideshare apportionment.

Road improvements may include constructing new roads, adding traffic lanes to existing roads, constructing paved shoulders, installing traffic signals, constructing sidewalks or bike lanes, or making safety improvements. Major maintenance improvements may also be included, such as resurfacing a road. However, minor maintenance activities such as patching potholes are not funded through GPATS, but are handled directly by SCDOT maintenance units.

GPATS' apportionment from the Guideshare Program is \$23,210 million annually.

Exempt Projects

Projects funded on a statewide basis, through other federal programs, or through Congressional Earmarks are listed in the TIP as "Projects Exempt from Guideshare," which means the projects are funded through other sources. Most of these projects are on the Interstate Highway System; SCDOT identifies and funds Interstate projects through a statewide system and advises each MPO and COG of Interstate projects to be funded. Bridge replacement projects, resurfacing projects, safety projects, and other statewide programs are also listed here.

The Recreational Trails Program (RTP) is an assistance program of the Department of Transportation's Federal Highway Administration (FHWA). Federal transportation funds benefit recreation by making funds available to the States to develop and maintain recreational trails and trail-related facilities for both non-motorized and motorized recreational trail uses.

The RTP funds come from the Federal Highway Trust Fund and represent a portion of the motor fuel excise tax collected from non-highway recreational fuel use: fuel used for off-highway recreation by snowmobiles, all-terrain vehicles, off-highway motorcycles, and off-highway light trucks.

Transportation Alternative Program Projects

In 2012, Congress passed the Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century (MAP-21) Highway Authorization Bill. The former Transportation Enhancement Program, created by ISTEA, was rebranded as the Transportation Alternative Program, or TAP, to maintain a level of funding for projects that had frequently been left out of highway improvement plans in past years. Three specific activities are permitted for the use of TAP funding by SCDOT, as detailed below. All projects must have a clear relationship to transportation and not merely beautification or recreation. These permitted

activities were continued in the FAST Act. More information is available on the internet at www.enhancements.org.

Eligible TAP Activities include:

Pedestrian and bicycle facilities, including non-motorized paths, that:

- Connect and develop documented regional or statewide non-motorized transportation networks.
- Are appropriate for the need and user types targeted.
- Benefit state tourism or economic development initiatives.
- If locally significant, have strong transportation connection and involve planning efforts or serve as connectors to regional networks.
- Are a priority on SCDOT, county, or regional non-motorized transportation plans.
- Address documented safety deficiencies.
- Are part of a broader non-TAP funded non-motorized system

For the Transportation Alternatives Program, a pedestrian is not only defined as a person traveling by foot but also “any mobility-impaired person using a wheelchair.” The definition of a bicycle transportation facility is “a new or improved lane, path, or shoulder for use by bicyclists and a traffic control device, shelter, or parking facility for bicycles.” Bicycle and pedestrian projects must be “principally for transportation, rather than recreation purposes.” It must also demonstrate a logical sense of connectivity.

Streetscape Improvements, that:

- Are located in established traditional downtowns or historic districts.
- Use a creative design approach that enhances pedestrian safety and takes into account the community identity, history, context, and the human environment.
- Accomplish multiple goals (traffic calming, pedestrian safety, tied with other initiatives, etc.)
- Receive input and support from citizens, local businesses, economic developers, traffic engineers, etc.

Safe Routes To School Program, that:

- Meet the requirements under section 1404 of the SAFETEA-LU.

State Infrastructure Bank Projects

Section 350 of the National Highway System Designation Act of 1995 (NHS Act) (Public Law 104-59) authorized the U.S. Department of Transportation (U.S. DOT) to establish the State Infrastructure Bank (SIB) Pilot Program. A SIB is a revolving fund mechanism for financing a wide variety of highway and transit projects through loans and credit enhancement. SIBs are designed to complement traditional Federal-aid highway and transit grants by providing States increased flexibility for financing infrastructure investments. Under the initial SIB Pilot Program, ten states were authorized to establish SIBs.

The General Assembly of the State during its 1997 session enacted Act No. 148, which created the South Carolina Transportation Infrastructure Bank (SCTIB) to select and assist in financing major qualified projects by providing loans and other financial assistance to government units and private entities for constructing and improving highway and transportation facilities necessary for public purposes including economic development.

The major sources of revenue for South Carolina SIB include \$66 million from the State General Fund as a one-time source of capitalization and state recurring monies, which include a share of a one-cent per gallon gas tax (approximately \$22 million annually) and truck registration fees (approximately \$53 million annually). Other sources include contributions from the borrowers who have received SIB funding in the form of loan repayments and additional contributions from SCDOT.

Federal Transit Administration Projects

Federal Transit Administration (FTA) funds are allocated based on Urbanized Areas. GPATS receives direct apportionment of FTA funding for the Greenville Urbanized Areas. The money is split between the Greenville Transit Authority (GTA) d.b.a. Greenlink and Clemson Area Transit (CAT) based on a formula that is updated yearly based on the National Transit Database (NTD). Mauldin-Simpsonville is a separate small Urbanized Area (under 200,000 population), and its funding is apportioned to the state in a “Governor’s Apportionment,” and the state determines how to distribute funds from the Governor’s Apportionment. Greenlink is the only one of the two transit providers in the region that uses these funds as only they provide services in the area.

[FTA Section 5303](#) funds are combined with FHWA PL funds through a consolidated grant with the SCDOT and are administered by GPATS and can be used only for planning activities, which may include salaries for staff planners or consultant studies. Greenlink Transit is provided funding to carry out transit planning functions related to operations and systems planning, NTD Reporting, and other FTA reviews or grant requirements.

[FTA Section 5307](#) can be used for capital purchases (e.g., purchasing buses, bus stop shelters, construction of facilities) and some maintenance activities. Small Urbanized Areas can also use 5307 funds to cover up to 50 percent of the net operating expenses of the transit system. Without a dedicated local funding source to help offset federal subsidies expanding or improving the system is not an option.

[FTA Section 5310](#) provides formula funding to states for the purpose of assisting private nonprofit groups in meeting the transportation needs of older adults and people with disabilities when the transportation service provided is unavailable, insufficient, or inappropriate to meeting these needs.

[FTA Section 5339](#) provides funding to states and transit agencies through a statutory formula to replace, rehabilitate and purchase buses and related equipment, and to construct bus-related facilities. In addition to the formula allocation, the Grants for Buses and Bus Facilities program (49 U.S.C. 5339) includes two discretionary components: the [Bus and Bus Facilities Discretionary Program](#) and the [Low or No Emissions Bus Discretionary Program](#).

TIP Amendment Process

From time to time, circumstances dictate that changes be made to the TIP following its adoption. Federal regulations permit changes to the TIP if the procedures for doing so are consistent with federal requirements for TIP development and approval. These changes or amendments are not routine. GPATS will consider such amendments when the circumstances prompting the change are compelling.

Proposed changes will be reviewed by GPATS staff before any actions are considered. All changes must follow GPATS policies on the Public Participation Plan. Changes must be consistent with the LRTP, must maintain the financial constraint of the TIP, and must be consistent with federal requirements. Proposed additions or changes to projects must also be consistent with the rules of the particular funding program under which the funding was authorized.

When GPATS is not involved in the programming decision associated with a project, the staff relies on project sponsors to initiate a TIP amendment. If GPATS is aware of new funding (i.e., Federal earmarks, one-time state funding programs, etc.), staff may alert sponsors of the funding commitment and request an amendment be initiated. However, generally, it is up to the project sponsor to initiate amendment requests to add new funding or make necessary adjustments to project scope, cost, and schedule as conditions warrant. All regionally significant transportation projects and all transportation projects requiring a federal action must be included in the TIP. These projects may be added to the TIP at any time, as long as procedures for doing so are consistent with federal requirements for TIP development and approval.

Types of TIP Amendments

Federal and State policies distinguish between two types of TIP amendments: Minor Amendments and Major Amendments. These types of amendments differ based on the magnitude of the proposed change and the level of review required by various federal, state, and local agencies. As a general rule, significant changes to the cost, scope, and schedule of a project listing require a Major Amendment, whereas minor changes in fund sources, description, lead agency, project limits, etc., may be processed through minor amendments.

Major Amendments must be approved by the Policy Committee, the SCDOT, FHWA, and FTA. Approval of minor amendments has been delegated to the SCDOT Office of Planning. Proposed amendments to the TIP must be developed in accordance with the provisions of 23 CFR 450.326, 23 CFR 450.328, and/or 23 CFR 450.216 and approved by the federal agencies in accordance with 23 CFR 450.220. In general terms, these regulations state the TIP may be modified at any time in a manner that is consistent with the procedures agreed to by the cooperating parties for developing the TIP. All other federal requirements concerning the development, public involvement, and federal agencies' approval of the TIP must be executed in accordance with 23 CFR Part 450. Regardless of the type of change, all modifications must be consistent with the LRTP, must maintain the financial constraint of the TIP, and must be consistent with federal Title VI requirements.

Minor Amendment

Minor Amendments are changes that revise project descriptions and funding source. Other types of changes that can be made through a Minor Amendment are changes to a project's lead agency, changes that split or combine more than one project, and changes to required information for grouped project listings. They take about 30 days to process and need to be approved by the SCDOT Office of Statewide Planning. The following changes may be made through a Minor Amendment, as long as the change occurs within the approved timeframe of the TIP, and maintain the financial constraint of the TIP:

- Change in project sponsor or implementing agency
- Splitting or combining projects
- Adding a prior phase to a programmed project. A TIP adjustment to reflect the addition of a previous phase of a project, such as the inclusion of right-of-way, does not require formal GPATS Policy Committee approval
- Moving programmed funds between programmed projects. A TIP adjustment to reflect additional project costs covered by shifting funds from one project to another does not require formal MPO Policy Committee approval. However, caution should be used not to reduce funding from one project to the extent that the stability of that project would be affected
- Moving a project from year to year within a TIP period provided the move does not cross an Air Quality Horizon year (as applicable)
- Changing a project description that does not change the Air Quality Conformity finding (as applicable), change the intended scope of the project, or does not necessitate revising the NEPA documentation or will not alter the NEPA determination
- A TIP adjustment to reflect a project's start or completion date within a five (5) year allotted time frame does not require formal MPO Policy Committee approval

Federal public involvement procedures required by 23 CFR 450.316 are not necessary for minor changes.

Major Amendment

Major Amendments are changes other than minor amendments as described. Major amendments usually take about 60 days to process and need to be approved by the GPATS Policy Committee, SCDOT, FHWA, and FTA. Adding or deleting a project or changes in funding is considered a major amendment.

All changes that do not fall within the category of a minor amendment are processed as major amendments. The following changes are examples of changes made through a Major Amendment:

- Adding a new project or deleting a programmed project from the five-year period of the TIP
- Adding new, un-programmed funds regardless of the source
- A major change of project scope, such as a change that is inconsistent with the NEPA documentation or will change the NEPA determination, or a change that affects the approved Air Quality conformity findings (as applicable)
- Any change requiring a new regional air quality conformity finding (as applicable)
- A greater than 10 percent cost increase in a phase of a project listed in the current TIP
- Moving a project from year to year within a TIP period if the move crosses an Air Quality Horizon Year (as applicable)

These amendment classifications and procedures are consistent with the Statewide TIP Guidelines promulgated by SCDOT, FHWA, and FTA. It is intended that the GPATS TIP Amendment Process and Procedures follow and adhere to the provisions of the Statewide TIP Guidelines. Once staff receives a request for a TIP amendment/adjustment either from a local jurisdiction, transit provider or through SCDOT, GPATS staff determines if the change is a minor or major amendment. If a major amendment is warranted, GPATS will advertise the TIP change on its website and in the local newspapers for public comment at the Policy Committee meetings. If the amendment is approved, staff will forward the TIP to SCDOT to have it included in the STIP. If there is an objection, either by the general public or the Policy Committee, GPATS staff will take the appropriate action regarding the comments.

If the change is a minor amendment, GPATS will provide SCDOT with the appropriate documentation to make the adjustment, and SCDOT will forward it to FHWA/FTA. Requests concerning these changes must come from the SCDOT in writing. The implementing jurisdiction or agency and the staff of GPATS must concur in the need for the change and in its likely beneficial effect on the implementation of the project.

Certification

Pursuant to [23 U.S.C. 134 \(i\)\(5\)](#) and 49 U.S.C. 1607, the [Federal Highway Administration \(FHWA\) and the Federal Transit Administration \(FTA\) must jointly](#) certify the metropolitan transportation planning processes in Transportation Management Areas (TMA) at least every three years. A TMA is an urbanized area, as defined by the U.S. Census, with a population of over 200,000. In general, the reviews consist of three primary activities: a site visit, review of planning documents (in advance of and during the site visit), and preparation of a report, which summarizes the review and offers findings. The reviews focus on compliance with federal regulations and the challenges, successes, and experiences of the cooperative relationship between the MPO, State DOT, and transit operator in the conduct of the metropolitan planning process. Joint FHWA and FTA Certification Review guidelines provide agency field reviewers with latitude and flexibility to tailor the review to reflect local issues and needs. As a consequence, the scope and depth of the Certification Review reports will vary significantly.

The Certification Review process is only one of several methods used to assess the quality of a local metropolitan planning process, compliance with applicable statutes and regulations, and the level and type of technical assistance needed to enhance the effectiveness of the planning process. Other activities provide opportunities for this type of review and comment, including Unified Planning Work Program (UPWP) approval, the Metropolitan Transportation Plan (TP), Metropolitan and Statewide Transportation Improvement Program findings, air quality conformity determinations (in nonattainment and maintenance areas), as well as a range of other formal and less formal contact provide both FHWA and FTA an opportunity to comment on the planning process. The results of these other processes are considered in the Certification Review process.

While the planning Certification Review report itself may not fully document those many intermediate and ongoing checkpoints, the "finding" of the Certification Review, in fact, is based upon the cumulative findings of the entire review effort. The review process is individually tailored to focus on topics of significance in each metropolitan planning area (MPA). The Certification Review Summary Report and final actions are the joint responsibility of the appropriate FHWA and FTA field offices. The content will vary to reflect the planning process reviewed, whether or not they relate explicitly to formal "findings" of the review.

An annual self-certification process, which certifies that the planning process complies with federal requirements, is included in the UPWP, which is adopted annually.

GPATS Transportation Improvement Program Financial Statement

The following tables comprise the Financial Statement of the GPATS 10-year TIP. Each project in this statement is consistent with the GPATS Long-Range Transportation Plan and has been vetted by GPATS Staff and SCDOT for financial viability.

Following the Financial Statement, each program and the projects therein are described in detail. More information on each TIP project may be found by visiting www.gpats.org, or by contacting the GPATS Staff.

TIP Definitions are as follow:

PL – Feasibility Report

P – Preliminary Engineering

R – Right-of-Way

C – Construction

*AC – Advanced Construction (amount not included in the TIP cost)

*ACC – Advanced Construction Conversion (amount included in the TIP)

*when applies

GPATS TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM - FY 2025-2034 FINANCIAL STATEMENT

Amendment/Correction Version AC 0-DRAFT
TIP Approved | AC #0 Approved

COST IN THOUSANDS		FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	FY 2030	FY 2031	FY 2032	FY 2033	FY 2034	TIP COST (2025-2034)
GUIDESHARE ALLOCATION													
ALLOCATION AVAILABLE FOR PROJECTS		\$16,205	\$26,168	\$29,189	\$29,189	\$29,186	\$29,186	\$29,189	\$29,189	\$29,186	\$29,186	\$29,189	\$29,186
CARRYOVER AVAILABLE FROM PREVIOUS FY		\$25,205	\$29,189	\$29,189	\$29,189	\$29,189	\$29,189	\$29,189	\$29,189	\$29,189	\$29,189	\$29,189	\$29,189
GUIDESHARE SUBTOTALS		\$41,410	\$55,357	\$58,378	\$58,378	\$58,375	\$58,375	\$58,378	\$58,378	\$58,375	\$58,375	\$58,378	\$58,375
SCOTT GUIDESHARE ADVANCEMENT													
GUIDESHARE PAYBACK													
BALANCE		22,895	36,913	31,390	41,688	69,746	96,376	122,283	151,463	180,662	209,851	239,041	209,899

FY 2024 GUIDESHARE SUMMARY												
REVENUES												\$29,186
EXPENDITURES												\$29,186
BALANCE												\$0
OTHER												\$0
BALANCE												\$0
Amounts shown in table are for Capital Budget												\$29,186

PM #	Year	GUIDESHARE PROJECTS	Previous Obligations	TIP										REMAINING COST (2034+)	FUNDING	
				FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	FY 2030	FY 2031	FY 2032	FY 2033			FY 2034
Road Improvement Projects Currently in the TIP with Updated Schedule and Cost Estimates																
37728001	26/29	SC 18 INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENTS	1,648													ST05P
			2,043													
			2,000 C											\$2,000	ST05P	
37728002	17	BAFFLESVILLE ROAD (SC 164) SC 14 TO ROPER MOUNTAIN RD THREE LANES WITH MEDIAN, BIRCH LANES, STEWART (NORTH OF PELHAM FALLS DRIVE)	1,650 P 2,200 R 13,000 C 1,750 C													ST05P
37728003	5	WOODCROFT ROAD (SC 44) IMPROVEMENTS FROM NEAR SCOTT FLETCHER (OWN) RD (S-25-HS) TO BENNETT'S BRIDGE (SC-288) W/4 IMPROVEMENTS TO INTERSECTIONS	750 P 1,500 R 1,500 R 8,778 C-ACC 2,722 C-ACC													ST05P
37728004	6	ROPER MOUNTAIN EXISTENCE (S-247) *** PELHAM ROAD TO ROPER MOUNTAIN ROAD (THREE LANES, BIRCH LANES, AND STEWART) (OWN SIDE)	1,550 P 1,200 R 5,800 C													CAPS-44 ST05P
37728005	7	ROPER MOUNTAIN ROAD (S-247) *** ROPER MOUNTAIN EXT TO GARLINGTON ROAD (THREE LANES, BIRCH LANES, AND STEWARTS (OWN SIDE)	1,550 P 1,500 R 7,750 C 1,000 C													ST05P ST05P

GUIDESHARE PROJECTS CONTINUED																							
PN #		Fiorth		GUIDESHARE PROJECTS		Previous Obligations	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	FY 2030	FY 2031	FY 2032	FY 2033	FY 2034	TIP COST [2025-2034]	REMAINING COST [2035+]	FUNDING			
Road Improvement Projects Currently in the TIP with Updated Schedule and Cost Estimates Cont.																							
P00552	8	BUTLER ROAD (S-107) BRIDGES RD TO US 278 (FOUR LANES, DIVIDED, BKE LANES AND SIDEWALKS)		1,500 P 10,400 R				4,500 C 27,000 C-AD	10,000 C-AD	11,000 C-AD									\$25,500		STDP		
P00554	11	BATESVILLE ROAD (S-104) PHASE II PELHAM ROAD TO THE PARKWAY (THREE LANES, WIDE OUTSIDE LANES, AND SIDEWALKS)		1,200 P	1,900 R			2,500 C 8,000 C-AD	8,500 C-AD										\$11,000	ON HOLD	STDP		
P02843		WOODRUFF ROAD CONGESTION RELIEF RESIDE BLVD TO SMITHS ROAD (FOUR LANE DIVIDED PLANTED MEDIAN, AND MULTI-USE PATH)		4,500 P 8,750 R	11,487 R-AD			11,487 R-AD 79,247 C	11,487 R-AD 79,247 C										\$35,974		STDP		
P03024		GASLINGTON ROAD FROM SC-146 TO PELHAM ROAD		300 PL																		STDP	
P03025		US-29 MILLS AVENUE FROM AUGUSTA STREET TO STEVENS STREET (SCOPE TBD)			TBD														\$200		STDP		
P03026		BROOK ROAD FROM US-29 TO W. PARIS ROAD (SCOPE TBD)						500 PL		TBD									\$500		STDP		
P03027		LAURENS RD FROM US-29 TO INNOVATION DRIVE (SCOPE TBD)								TBD									\$400		STDP		
P03028		US-129 AZALEA ST WEDGING FROM PENCILTON ST TO WASHINGTON AVE (SCOPE TBD)						60 PL			TBD								\$60		STDP		
P04194	6	WACE HAMPTON (US-29) TO RED SCHOOL RD (SCOPE TBD)																				STDP	
P04194		SC-296 REDVILLE ROAD FROM SC-296 TO SC-146 (WOODRUFF ROAD)		100 PL															\$200		STDP		
P04387		SC-183 FARMS BRIDGE ROAD US-29 WHITE HORSE ROAD TO ALEX OR SAULDA VIEW DRIVE (S-225) WEDGING (SCOPE TBD)		3,000 P															\$500 R		STDP		
		FAIRVIEW ROAD FROM GRANDVIEW DRIVE TO SC-118 (SCOPE TBD)																				STDP	
		ST. MARK ROAD WACE HAMPTON (US-29) TO LOCUST HILL RD (SC-206) (SCOPE TBD)																				STDP	
Intersection Projects Currently in the TIP with Updated Schedule and Cost Estimates																							
3788R001		WOODRUFF ROUSE INTERCHANGE RAMP MODIFICATION**		1,781 P																		STDP	
3788R001		WOODRUFF RD (SC-140) AND GASLINGTON MILLE**		1,781 P																		STDP	
2		HAYWOOD ROAD AND PELHAM ROAD		255 PL																		STDP	
3		PLEASANTBURG DRIVE AND RUTHERFORD ROAD		255 PL																		STDP	
4		WHITE HORSE RD / W. BLUE RIDGE RD						25 PL	TBD										\$25			STDP	
4		E. BLUE RIDGE DR / STATE PARK RD AND PURSNETT HWY						35 PL	TBD										\$35			STDP	
4		LAURENS RD / WOODRUFF RD						50 PL	TBD										\$50			STDP	
7		RUTHERFORD RD / JAMES ST AND WYBLE ST						50 PL	TBD										\$50			STDP	
9		SC-2 / MURRAY ST						50 PL	TBD										\$50			STDP	
		MARK ST / ANN ST / PENALTON ST																				STDP	
		US-29 / BESSIE RD (SC-68) / SANDY SPRINGS RD																				STDP	
		W. GEORGIA RD (S-172) / E. STANDING SPRINGS RD																				STDP	

. Funding amounts are shown for full rehab packages, which include segments outside of GPATS, not shown.

FTA SUBTOTAL	7,521	8,742	7,907
--------------	-------	-------	-------

FY 2024 funds are a summation of FY2021-2026 STIP. Please refer to the previous years to reference the allocation amount.

Transportation Alternatives																
PN #	TA		Previous Obligations	TP										REMAINING COST [2025+]	FUNDING	
	Year	Priority		Junction/Project	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	FY 2030	FY 2031	FY 2032			FY 2033
	2013	5	City of Escondido Escondido Central Greenway Phase 1 & 2	524												TAP
P020891	2014	1	City of Escondido Woodhurst Road Sidewalks	361												TAP
P030103	2014	2	Mission School District Four Escondido Middle School Sidewalks	465												TAP
20898	2015	1	San Diego County Escondido Middle School and Los Encinos	1,245												TAP
P038476	2016	1	City of Escondido Escondido Road Sidewalks	409												TAP
P038173	2017	2	City of Fountain Inn Woodcrest Park Connector	759												TAP
P041351	2019	1	County of Orange by Town of Orange Red Bluff Connector	613												TAP
20229	1		City of Orange Orange City Center Trail Phase 1	1020												TAP
Funding has not been verified.																
			TA OBLIGATION (\$,K\$)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
			ANNUAL TA ALLOCATION	1,163	1,163	1,163	1,163	1,163	1,163	1,163	1,163	1,163	1,163	1,163	1,163	
			FUNDING AVAILABLE													
			BALANCE													
			TA TOTAL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
			FY 2025-2034 TP GRAND TOTAL	\$93,527	\$17,569	\$43,343	\$30,030	\$2,221	\$2,221	\$2,221	\$7,16,021					\$554,035

EV; PL = PLANNING AND FEASIBILITY, P = PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING, R = RIGHT OF WAY, C = CONSTRUCTION, CA = CAPITAL PURCHASE

Guideshare Program

The Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) adopted by the SCDOT Commission currently allocates \$23,210,970 annually to GPATS.

Guideshare projects are broken into five categories:

1. **GPATS Guideshare Roadway Corridors (50%)**
2. **GPATS Guideshare Intersection Projects (25%)**
3. **GPATS Guideshare Bicycle and Pedestrian Projects (10%)**
4. **GPATS Guideshare Transit Projects (10%)**
5. **GPATS Guideshare Traffic Signal Retiming / Upgrades (5%)**

GPATS Guideshare Roadway Corridors

Project:	SC-183 Intersection Improvements (Farrs Bridge Road (SC-183) Improvement between SC-135 and Saluda River)	29
Project:	Batesville Road (S-164) (between SC-14 to Roper Mountain Rd).....	30
Project:	Woodruff Road (SC-146) (between Scuffletown Rd to Bennetts Bridge Rd)	32
Project:	Roper Mountain Extension (S-547) (between Pelham Road to Roper Mountain Rd)	33
Project:	Roper Mountain Road (S-548) (between Roper Mountain Extension to Garlington Rd)	34
Project:	Butler Road (S-107) (between Bridges Road to US-276)	35
Project:	Batesville Road (S-164) Phase II (between Pelham Road to The Parkway)	36
Project:	Woodruff Road Congestion Relief (Verdae Blvd. to Smith Hines Road)	37
Project:	Garlington Road Widening (between SC-146 to Roper Mountain Rd)	38
Project:	US-29/Mills Avenue (between from Augusta St to Stevens St)	39
Project:	Grove Road (between US-25 to W Faris Rd).....	40
Project:	Laurens Road (between I-85 to Innovation Dr)	41
Project:	Academy St / US-123 (between Pendleton St to Washington Ave).....	42
Project:	Wade Hampton (from Pine Knoll Dr to Reid School Rd)	43
Project:	SC-296 Reidville Road (between SC-290 to SC-146 Woodruff Road).....	44
Project:	SC-183 Farrs Bridge Road (US-25 White Horse Road to Alex Drive/Saluda View Drive) Widening...	45
Project:	Fairview Road from Grandview Drive to SC-418	46
Project:	St. Mark Road (Wade Hampton to Locust Hill Road)	47

TOTAL COST (THOUSANDS): ALL TIP COSTS FOR ROADWAY CORRIDORS ACCOUNTED IN GUIDESHARE

Description: Scope of the project will vary for each corridor but will generally involve adding lanes, bicycle and pedestrian accommodations, and intersection improvements. A precise scope of work will not be determined until detailed analysis of traffic flow is completed and environmental and engineering constraints at each location are fully examined.

Purpose and Need: To improve traffic safety and traffic flow.

County, City: Region-wide

Program Type: Guideshare

Funding Source:

Remarks:

Project: *SC-183 Intersection Improvements (Farrs Bridge Road (SC-183) Improvement between SC-135 and Saluda River)*

Note: Initial funding for this project is provided by a \$1.5 million transfer of Appalachian COG Guideshare funds to GPATS in FY 2009.

Total Cost (thousands): \$5,830 total includes \$1,500 from ACOG

Description: Safety improvements along two-lane primary highway between Dacusville Road (SC-135) and Saluda River, add left turn lanes at selected intersections

Purpose and Need: To reduce crashes and improve traffic flow


County / City: County of Pickens

Program Type: Guideshare (System Upgrade), transfer of funds from Appalachian COG

Funding Source: Surface Transportation Program (STP)

Remarks: Project to be limited to Alex Rd in FY2012, Jim Hunt Rd in FY2016, and Jameson Rd in FY2017.

Existing Road Improvement Projects Currently in the TIP with Updated Schedule and Cost Estimates									
Activity	Previous	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	FY 2030-2034	TIP Total
PE	\$ 130								\$ -
ROW	\$ 3,700								\$ -
CONST			\$ 2,000						\$ 2,000
TOTAL	\$ 3,830	\$ -	\$ 2,000	\$ -		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,000



Project: Batesville Road (S-164) (between SC-14 to Roper Mountain Rd)

Total Cost (thousands): \$18,600 total includes \$1,750 from State Safety in FY 2018

Description: Preserve Right-of-Way for realignment of a portion of Batesville road and for widening to serve higher traffic volumes in the corridor. Three lanes with median, bike lanes, sidewalk north of Pelham Falls Drive.

Purpose and Need: Provide additional capacity to serve future traffic growth


County / City: County of Greenville

Program Type: Guidesshare (system upgrade)

Funding Source: Surface Transportation Program (STP), State Safety

Remarks: This project has had extensive public involvement to determine the best alignment for the project, which has been determined to be relocation of the roadway to the west of the church. In addition, State Safety monies will be utilized to extend the project to Roper Mountain Rd.

Existing Road Improvement Projects Currently in the TIP with Updated Schedule and Cost Estimates									
Activity	Previous	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	FY 2030-2034	TIP Total
PE	\$ 1,650								\$ -
ROW	\$ 2,200								\$ -
CONST	\$ 13,000								\$ -
SAFETY	\$ 1,750								\$ -
TOTAL	\$ 18,600	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -



Project: Woodruff Road (SC-146) (between Scuffletown Rd to Bennetts Bridge Rd)

Total Cost (thousands): \$11,750 total

Description: Widen existing 2-lane roadway to 5-lane highway with wide outside lanes, and sidewalks, and improvements to intersections

Purpose and Need: Provide additional capacity to address existing and future traffic congestion and provide for bicycle and pedestrian use


County / City: County of Greenville

Program Type: Guideshare

Funding Source: Surface Transportation Program (STP) and Coronavirus Response and Relief Supplement Appropriations Act (CRRSAA)

Remarks:

Existing Road Improvement Projects Currently in the TIP with Updated Schedule and Cost Estimates									
Activity	Previous	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	FY 2030-2034	TIP Total
PE	\$ 750								\$ -
ROW	\$ 1,500								\$ -
C-AC	\$ 9,500								
C-ACC	\$ 6,778								
C-ACC	\$ 2,722								\$ -
TOTAL	\$11,750	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -



Project: Roper Mountain Extension (S-547) (between Pelham Road to Roper Mountain Rd)

Total Cost (thousands): \$12,550 total

Description: Widen existing 2-lane roadway to 3-lane roadway with bicycle lanes and sidewalks on one side

Purpose and Need: Provide additional capacity to address existing and future traffic congestion while improving left turn movements

County / City: County of Greenville

Program Type: Guideshare

Funding Source: Surface Transportation Program (STP)

Remarks: Project has been combined with Roper Mountain Road project below.

Existing Road Improvement Projects Currently in the TIP with Updated Schedule and Cost Estimates									
Activity	Previous	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	FY 2030-2034	TIP Total
PE	\$ 1,550								\$ -
ROW	\$ 1,200								\$ -
CONST	\$ 9,800								\$ -
TOTAL	\$ 12,550	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -



Project: *Roper Mountain Road (S-548) (between Roper Mountain Extension to Garlington Rd)*

Total Cost (thousands): \$11,400 total includes \$1,000 from Safety in FY 2018

Description: Widen existing 2-lane roadway to 3-lane roadway with bicycle lanes and sidewalks on one side.

Purpose and Need: Provide additional capacity to address existing and future traffic congestion while improving left turn movements.


County / City: County of Greenville

Program Type: Guideshare

Funding Source: Surface Transportation Program (STP)

Remarks: Project has been combined with Roper Mountain Ext. project above.

Existing Road Improvement Projects Currently in the TIP with Updated Schedule and Cost Estimates									
Activity	Previous	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	FY 2030-2034	TIP Total
PE	\$ 1,150								\$ -
ROW	\$ 1,500								\$ -
CONST	\$ 8,750								\$ -
TOTAL	\$ 11,400	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -



Project: Butler Road (S-107) (between Bridges Road to US-276)

Total Cost (thousands): \$37,400 total (\$25,500 of total cost between 2025 – 2034)

Description: Widen existing 2-lane roadway to 4-lane highway with raised median and turn lanes at intersections, with bicycle lanes and sidewalks

Purpose and Need: Provide additional capacity to address existing and future traffic congestion while improving left turns at intersections


County / City: City of Mauldin

Program Type: Guideshare

Funding Source: Surface Transportation Program (STP), Transportation Enhancements (TE)

Remarks: Transportation Enhancement monies will be spent along the project to bolster landscaping and pedestrian amenities.

Existing Road Improvement Projects Currently in the TIP with Updated Schedule and Cost Estimates									
Activity	Previous	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	FY 2030-2034	TIP Total
PE	\$ 1,500								\$ -
ROW	\$ 10,400								\$ -
CONST			\$ 4,500						\$ 4,500
AC			\$ 21,000						\$ 21,000
ACC				\$ 10,000	\$ 11,000				\$ 21,000
TOTAL	\$ 11,900	\$ -	\$ 4,500	\$ 10,000	\$ 11,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 25,500



Project: Batesville Road (S-164) Phase II (between Pelham Road to The Parkway)

Total Cost (thousands): \$14,100 total (\$11,000 of total cost between 2025 – 2034)

Description: Widen existing 2-lane roadway to 3-lane highway with turn lanes at intersections, wide outside lanes for bicycles, and sidewalks

Purpose and Need: Provide additional capacity to address existing and future traffic congestion while improving left turns at intersections


County / City: County of Greenville

Program Type: Guideshare

Funding Source: Surface Transportation Program (STP)

Remarks: Project is on hold, pending the results of the scoping of the I-85 Widening.

Activity	Previous	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	FY 2030-2034	TIP Total
PE	\$ 1,200								\$ -
ROW		\$ 1,900							\$ -
CONST			\$ 2,500						\$ 2,500
AC			\$ 8,500						\$ 8,500
ACC				\$ 8,500					\$ 8,500
TOTAL	\$ 1,200	\$ 1,900	\$ 2,500	\$ 8,500	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 11,000



Project: *Woodruff Road Congestion Relief (Verdae Blvd. to Smith Hines Road)*

Total Cost (thousands): \$49,111 total (\$23,974 of total cost between 2025 – 2034)
The SCTIB and GC are also funding an additional \$79,346.

Description: New 4-lane divided, planted median, and multi-use path

Purpose and Need: Provide additional capacity to address existing and future traffic congestion with regulated development and limited access left turns

County / City: City of Greenville

Program Type: Guideshare

Funding Source: Surface Transportation Program (STP)

Remarks: Project is in ROW as of May 2023.

Existing Road Improvement Projects Currently in the TIP with Updated Schedule and Cost Estimates

Activity	Previous	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	FY 2030-2034	TIP Total
PE	\$ 4,900								\$ -
ROW	\$ 8,750								\$ -
CONST				\$ 1,000					\$ 1,000
CONST				\$ 79,346					\$ 79,346
AC	\$ 34,461								\$ 34,461
ACC		\$ 11,487	\$ 11,487	\$ 11,487					\$ 22,974
TOTAL	\$ 13,650	\$ 11,487	\$ 11,487	\$ 12,487	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 23,974



Project: Garlington Road Widening (between SC-146 to Roper Mountain Rd)

Total Cost (thousands): \$300 total for PL Phase, remainder TBD

Description: Widening to 3 lanes with a center turn lane, bike lanes and sidewalks (conceptual only; true scope will be determined in PL and PE phases)

Purpose and Need: To mitigate current and future congestion

County / City: County of Greenville and City of Greenville

Program Type: Guideshare

Funding Source: Surface Transportation Program (STP)

Remarks: Project is awaiting Feasibility Study.

Existing Road Improvement Projects Currently in the TIP with Updated Schedule and Cost Estimates									
Activity	Previous	FY2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	FY 2030-2034	TIP Total
PL	\$ 300								\$ -
PE		TBD	TBD						\$ -
ROW		TBD	TBD						\$ -
CONST		TBD	TBD						\$ -
TOTAL	\$ 300	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -



Project: US-29/Mills Avenue (between from Augusta St to Stevens St)

Total Cost (thousands): \$300 total for PL Phase, remainder TBD (\$300 of total cost between 2025 – 2034)

Description: Access Management improvements that will be determined during the PL and PE phases

Purpose and Need: To mitigate future congestion and safety concerns


County / City: County of Greenville and City of Greenville

Program Type: Guideshare

Funding Source: Surface Transportation Program (STP)

Remarks: Project is awaiting Planning Phase in FY2026

Existing Road Improvement Projects Currently in the TIP with Updated Schedule and Cost Estimates									
Activity	Previous	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	FY 2030-2034	TIP Total
PL				\$ 300					\$ 300
PE		TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD				\$ -
ROW		TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD				\$ -
CONST		TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD				\$ -
TOTAL	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 300	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 300



Project: Grove Road (between US-25 to W Faris Rd)

Total Cost (thousands): \$500 total for PL Phase, remainder TBD (\$500 of total cost between 2025 – 2034)

Description: Widening to 5 lanes near Faris Rd and White Horse Rd and 3 lanes between Old Grove Rd and Centennial Rd with bike lanes and sidewalks throughout (conceptual only; true scope will be determined during the PL and PE phases)

Purpose and Need: To mitigate current and future congestion


County / City: City of Greenville

Program Type: Guideshare

Funding Source: Surface Transportation Program (STP)

Remarks: Project is awaiting Planning Phase in FY25.

Existing Road Improvement Projects Currently in the TIP with Updated Schedule and Cost Estimates									
Activity	Previous	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	FY 2030-2034	TIP Total
PL			\$ 500						\$ 500
PE				TBD					\$ -
ROW				TBD					\$ -
CONST				TBD					\$ -
TOTAL	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 500	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 500



Project: Laurens Road (between I-85 to Innovation Dr)

Total Cost (thousands): \$40 total for PL Phase, remainder TBD (\$40 of total cost between 2025 – 2034)

Description: General corridor improvements from I-85 to Innovation Drive

Purpose and Need: To mitigate safety and operational concerns


County / City: City of Greenville

Program Type: Guideshare

Funding Source: Surface Transportation Program (STP)

Remarks: Project is awaiting Planning Phase in FY27.

Existing Road Improvement Projects Currently in the TIP with Updated Schedule and Cost Estimates									
Activity	Previous	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	FY 2030-2034	TIP Total
PL					\$ 40				\$ 40
PE						TBD			\$ -
ROW						TBD			\$ -
CONST						TBD			\$ -
TOTAL	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 40	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 40



Project: *Academy St / US-123 (between Pendleton St to Washington Ave)*

Total Cost (thousands): \$60 total for PL Phase, remainder TBD (\$60 of total cost between 2025 – 2034)

Description: General corridor improvements from Pendleton Street to Washington Street

Purpose and Need: To mitigate safety and operational concerns


County / City: City of Greenville

Program Type: Guideshare

Funding Source: Surface Transportation Program (STP)

Remarks: Project is awaiting Planning Phase in FY25. Acceleration is anticipated due to Judson Mill redevelopment.

Existing Road Improvement Projects Currently in the TIP with Updated Schedule and Cost Estimates									
Activity	Previous	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	FY 2030-2034	TIP Total
PL			\$ 60						\$ 60
PE				TBD					\$ -
ROW				TBD					\$ -
CONST				TBD					\$ -
TOTAL	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 60	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 60



Project: Wade Hampton (from Pine Knoll Dr to Reid School Rd)

Total Cost (thousands): \$200 total for PL Phase, remainder TBD (\$200 of total cost between 2025 – 2034)

Description: General corridor improvements from Pine Knoll to Reid School Rd

Purpose and Need: To mitigate safety and operational concerns

County / City: County of Greenville

Program Type: Guideshare

Funding Source: Surface Transportation Program (STP)

Remarks: Project is awaiting Planning Phase in FY27.

Existing Road Improvement Projects Currently in the TIP with Updated Schedule and Cost Estimates									
Activity	Previous	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	FY 2030-2034	TIP Total
PL					\$ 200		\$ -		\$ 200
PE									\$ -
ROW									\$ -
CONST									\$ -
TOTAL	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 200	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 200

Insert Map

Project: SC-296 Reidville Road (between SC-290 to SC-146 Woodruff Road)

Total Cost (thousands): \$100 total for PL Phase

Description: In cooperation with Spartanburg Area Transportation Study (SPATS)

Purpose and Need: To mitigate safety and operational concerns

County / City: SPATS

Program Type: Guideshare

Funding Source: Surface Transportation Block Group Program (STBGP)

Remarks: These funds are not from GPATS Guideshare

Existing Road Improvement Projects Currently in the TIP with Updated Schedule and Cost Estimates									
Activity	Previous	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	FY 2030-2034	TIP Total
PL	\$ 100								\$ -
PE									\$ -
ROW									\$ -
CONST									\$ -
TOTAL	\$ 100	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

Insert Map

Project: SC-183 Farris Bridge Road (US-25 White Horse Road to Alex Drive/Saluda View Drive) Widening

Total Cost (thousands): \$8,000 total for PE and ROW Phase

Description: Widening

Purpose and Need:

County / City: Greenville

Program Type: Guideshare

Funding Source: Surface Transportation Block Group Program (STBGP)

Remarks: Scope to be determined

Activity	Previous	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	FY 2030-2034	TIP Total
PL									\$ -
PE		\$ 3,000							\$ -
ROW					\$ 5,000				\$ 5,000
CONST									\$ -
TOTAL	\$ -	\$ 3,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 5,000	\$ -	\$ -		\$ 5,000

Insert Map

Project: Fairview Road from Grandview Drive to SC-418

Total Cost (thousands): \$50 total for PL

Description:

Purpose and Need:

County / City:

Program Type: Guideshare

Funding Source: Surface Transportation Block Group Program (STBGP)

Remarks: Scope to be determined

Existing Road Improvement Projects Currently in the TIP with Updated Schedule and Cost Estimates									
Activity	Previous	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	FY 2030-2034	TIP Total
PL							\$ 50		\$ 50
PE								TBD	\$ -
ROW									
CONST									\$ -
TOTAL	\$ -		\$ -	\$ -		\$ -	\$ 50		\$ 50

Insert Map

Project: **St. Mark Road** (*Wade Hampton to Locust Hill Road*)

Total Cost (thousands): \$50 total for PL

Description:

Purpose and Need:

County / City:

Program Type: Guideshare

Funding Source: Surface Transportation Block Group Program (STBGP)

Remarks: Scope to be determined

Existing Road Improvement Projects Currently in the TIP with Updated Schedule and Cost Estimates									
Activity	Previous	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	FY 2030-2034	TIP Total
PL							\$ 50		\$ 50
PE								TBD	\$ -
ROW									
CONST									\$ -
TOTAL	\$ -		\$ -	\$ -		\$ -	\$ 50		\$ 50

Insert Map

Project: Woodruff Rd/I-85 Interchange Ramp Modifications (SC-146/Woodruff Road at I-85 Interchange Ramp Modifications)

Total Cost (thousands): \$1,781 total

Description: Interchange improvements

Purpose and Need: Address the congestion and safety concerns at the interchange of a major interstate and thoroughfare


County / City: City of Greenville

Program Type: Guideshare

Funding Source: Surface Transportation Program (STP)

Remarks: Project is under construction, to be completed with I-85/385 Gateway.

Existing Intersection Projects Currently in the TIP with Updated Schedule and Cost Estimates									
Activity	Previous	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	FY 2030-2034	TIP Total
PE	\$ 1,781								\$ -
ROW									\$ -
CONST									\$ -
TOTAL	\$ 1,781	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -



Project: Woodruff Rd (SC 146) and Garlington/Miller (SC-146/Woodruff Road at Miller Rd/Garlington Rd)

Total Cost (thousands): \$1,781 total

Description: Intersection improvements

Purpose and Need: Address the congestion and safety concerns at the intersection of the two major thoroughfares


County / City: City of Greenville

Program Type: Guideshare

Funding Source: Surface Transportation Program (STP)

Remarks: Project is under construction, to be completed with I-85/385 Gateway.

Existing Intersection Projects Currently in the TIP with Updated Schedule and Cost Estimates									
Activity	Previous	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	FY 2030-2034	TIP Total
PE	\$ 1,781								\$ -
ROW									\$ -
CONST									\$ -
TOTAL	\$ 1,781	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -



Project: Haywood Rd and Pelham Rd Intersection

Total Cost (thousands): \$250 total for PL Phase, remainder TBD

Description: Intersection improvements such as driveway consolidation, improved signage, improved turn lanes, and multimodal considerations (concept only, true scope to be determined in the PL and PE Phases)

Purpose and Need: Address the congestion and safety concerns at the intersection of the two major thoroughfares


County / City: City of Greenville

Program Type: Guideshare

Funding Source: Surface Transportation Program (STP)

Remarks:

Existing Intersection Projects Currently in the TIP with Updated Schedule and Cost Estimates									
Activity	Previous	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	FY 2030-2034	TIP Total
PL	\$ 250								\$ -
PE		TBD	TBD						\$ -
ROW		TBD	TBD						\$ -
CONST		TBD	TBD						\$ -
TOTAL	\$ 250	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -



Project: Pleasantburg Dr and Rutherford Rd Intersection

Total Cost (thousands): \$250 total for PL Phase, remainder TBD

Description: Intersection improvements such as driveway consolidation, improved signage, improved turn lanes, and multimodal considerations (concept only, true scope to be determined in the PL and PE Phases)

Purpose and Need: Address the congestion and safety concerns at the intersection of the two major thoroughfares


County / City: City of Greenville

Program Type: Guideshare

Funding Source: Surface Transportation Program (STP)

Remarks: Project is awaiting Planning Phase.

Existing Intersection Projects Currently in the TIP with Updated Schedule and Cost Estimates									
Activity	Previous	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	FY 2030-2034	TIP Total
PL	\$ 250								\$ -
PE		TBD	TBD						\$ -
ROW		TBD	TBD						\$ -
CONST		TBD	TBD						\$ -
TOTAL	\$ 250	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -



Project: Whitehorse Rd / W Blue Ridge Rd Intersection

Total Cost (thousands): \$25 total for PL Phase, remainder TBD (\$25 of total cost between 2025 – 2034)

Description: Intersection Project to be determined by Planning Phase

Purpose and Need: Address the congestion and safety concerns at the intersection of the two major thoroughfares


County / City: County of Greenville

Program Type: Guideshare

Funding Source: Surface Transportation Program (STP)

Remarks: Project is awaiting Planning Phase in FY25.

Existing Intersection Projects Currently in the TIP with Updated Schedule and Cost Estimates									
Activity	Previous	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	FY 2030-2034	TIP Total
PL			\$ 25						\$ 25
PE				TBD					\$ -
ROW				TBD					\$ -
CONST				TBD					\$ -
TOTAL	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 25	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 25



Project: E Blue Ridge Dr / State Park Rd and Poinsett Hwy

Total Cost (thousands): \$35 total for PL Phase, remainder TBD (\$35 of total cost between 2025 – 2034)

Description: Intersection Project to be determined by Planning Phase

Purpose and Need: Address the congestion and safety concerns at the intersection of the two major thoroughfares


County / City: County of Greenville

Program Type: Guideshare

Funding Source: Surface Transportation Program (STP)

Remarks: Project is awaiting Planning Phase in FY25.

Existing Intersection Projects Currently in the TIP with Updated Schedule and Cost Estimates									
Activity	Previous	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	FY 2030-2034	TIP Total
PL			\$ 35						\$ 35
PE				TBD					\$ -
ROW				TBD					\$ -
CONST				TBD					\$ -
TOTAL	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 35	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 35



Project: Laurens Rd / Woodruff Rd

Total Cost (thousands): \$50 total for PL Phase, remainder TBD (\$50 of total cost between 2025 – 2034)

Description: Intersection Project to be determined by Planning Phase

Purpose and Need: Address the congestion and safety concerns at the intersection of the two major thoroughfares

County / City: County of Greenville

Program Type: Guideshare

Funding Source: Surface Transportation Program (STP)

Remarks: Project is awaiting Planning Phase in FY27.

Existing Intersection Projects Currently in the TIP with Updated Schedule and Cost Estimates									
Activity	Previous	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	FY 2030-2034	TIP Total
PL					\$ 50				\$ 50
PE						TBD			\$ -
ROW						TBD			\$ -
CONST						TBD			\$ -
TOTAL	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 50	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 50

Insert Map

Project: Rutherford Rd / James St and W Earle St

Total Cost (thousands): \$50 total for PL Phase, remainder TBD (\$50 of total cost between 2025 – 2034)

Description: Intersection Project to be determined by Planning Phase

Purpose and Need: Address the congestion and safety concerns at the intersection of the two major thoroughfares

County / City: County of Greenville

Program Type: Guideshare

Funding Source: Surface Transportation Program (STP)

Remarks: Project is awaiting Planning Phase in FY27.

Existing Intersection Projects Currently in the TIP with Updated Schedule and Cost Estimates									
Activity	Previous	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	FY 2030-2034	TIP Total
PL					\$ 50				\$ 50
PE						TBD			\$ -
ROW						TBD			\$ -
CONST						TBD			\$ -
TOTAL	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 50	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 50

Insert Map

Project: *Main Street / Ann Street / Pendleton Street*

Total Cost (thousands): \$50 total for PL Phase, remainder TBD (\$50 of total cost between 2025 – 2034)

Description: Intersection Project to be determined by Planning Phase

Purpose and Need: Address the congestion and safety concerns at the intersection of the two major thoroughfares

County / City: County of Greenville

Program Type: Guideshare

Funding Source: Surface Transportation Block Grant Program (STBGP)

Remarks: Project is awaiting Planning Phase in FY29.

Existing Intersection Projects Currently in the TIP with Updated Schedule and Cost Estimates									
Activity	Previous	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	FY 2030-2034	TIP Total
PL							\$ 50		\$ 50
PE								TBD	\$ -
ROW									\$ -
CONST									\$ -
TOTAL	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 50	\$ -	\$ 50

Insert Map

Project: US-25 / Bessie Road (SC-86) / Sandy Springs Road

Total Cost (thousands): \$50 total for PL Phase, remainder TBD (\$50 of total cost between 2025 – 2034)

Description: Intersection Project to be determined by Planning Phase

Purpose and Need: Address the congestion and safety concerns at the intersection of the two major thoroughfares

County / City: County of Greenville

Program Type: Guideshare

Funding Source: Surface Transportation Block Grant Program (STBGP)

Remarks: Project is awaiting Planning Phase in FY29.

Existing Intersection Projects Currently in the TIP with Updated Schedule and Cost Estimates									
Activity	Previous	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	FY 2030-2034	TIP Total
PL							\$ 50		\$ 50
PE								TBD	\$ -
ROW									\$ -
CONST									\$ -
TOTAL	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 50	\$ -	\$ 50

Insert Map

Project: W. Georgia Road (S-172) / E. Standing Springs Road

Total Cost (thousands): \$50 total for PL Phase, remainder TBD (\$50 of total cost between 2025 – 2034)

Description: Intersection Project to be determined by Planning Phase

Purpose and Need: Address the congestion and safety concerns at the intersection of the two major thoroughfares

County / City: County of Greenville

Program Type: Guideshare

Funding Source: Surface Transportation Block Grant Program (STBGP)

Remarks: Project is awaiting Planning Phase in FY29.

Existing Intersection Projects Currently in the TIP with Updated Schedule and Cost Estimates									
Activity	Previous	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	FY 2030-2034	TIP Total
PL							\$ 50		\$ 50
PE								TBD	\$ -
ROW									\$ -
CONST									\$ -
TOTAL	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 50	\$ -	\$ 50

Insert Map

Guideshare Bicycle and Pedestrian Projects

Project:	Mauldin Golden Strip Gateway	59
Project:	Augusta St Area Bike Network	60
Project:	City of Easley Doodle Trail Extension	61
Project:	City of Greer and Taylors Greenway	62
Project:	Travelers Rest Bike/Ped Network Expansion	63

Total Cost (thousands): \$545 total and TBD

Description: Scope will vary depending on the project, but will generally involve SCDOT assessing and determining the best course of action

Purpose and Need: To improve traffic safety and provide transportation alternatives

County / City: Region-wide

Program Type: Guideshare

Funding Source: Surface Transportation Program (STP), with local support as needed

Remarks: Project funding will not begin until 2024 as this is a new program.

Project: Mauldin Golden Strip Gateway

Total Cost (thousands): \$150 total for PL Phase, remainder TBD

Description: Multi-use path and extension of the Prisma Health Swamp Rabbit Trail

Purpose and Need: Provide transportation options to residents and visitors to City of Mauldin and Greenville County


County / City: County of Greenville and City of Mauldin

Program Type: Guideshare

Funding Source: Surface Transportation Program (STP)

Remarks: Funding will not be available until 2024 and will not be determined until after the planning phase is complete.

Existing Intersection Projects Currently in the TIP with Updated Schedule and Cost Estimates									
Activity	Previous	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	FY 2030-2034	TIP Total
PL		\$ 150							\$ -
PE			TBD	TBD					\$ -
ROW			TBD	TBD					\$ -
CONST			TBD	TBD					\$ -
TOTAL	\$ -	\$ 150	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -



Project: Augusta St Area Bike Network

Total Cost (thousands): \$200 total for PL Phase, remainder TBD

Description: Bike infrastructure network for residents and visitors in the City of Greenville


Purpose and Need: Provide transportation options to residents and visitors in Greenville

County / City: City of Greenville

Program Type: Guideshare

Funding Source: Surface Transportation Program (STP)

Remarks: Funding will not be available until 2024 and will not be determined until after the planning phase is complete.

[illegible]

Project: City of Easley Doodle Trail Extension

Total Cost (thousands): \$150 total for PL Phase, remainder TBD

Description: Multi-use path and extension of the Doodle Trail connecting City of Pickens and City of Easley

Purpose and Need: Provide transportation options to residents and visitors in Easley and Pickens


County / City: City of Easley

Program Type: Guideshare

Funding Source: Surface Transportation Program (STP)

Remarks: Funding will not be available until 2024 and will not be determined until after the planning phase is complete.

Existing Bicycle and Pedestrian Projects Currently in the TIP with Updated Schedule and Cost Estimates									
Activity	Previous	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	FY 2030-2034	TIP Total
PL		\$ 150							\$ -
PE			TBD	TBD					\$ -
ROW			TBD	TBD					\$ -
CONST			TBD	TBD					\$ -
TOTAL	\$ -	\$ 150	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -



Project: City of Greer and Taylors Greenway

Total Cost (thousands): \$25 total for PL Phase, remainder TBD (\$25 of total cost between 2025 – 2034)

Description: Shared-use path following the US 29 Corridor

Purpose and Need: Provide transportation options to residents and visitors in Greer, the Taylors area, and Greenville County


County / City: City of Greer and City of Taylors

Program Type: Guideshare

Funding Source: Surface Transportation Program (STP)

Remarks: Project scope is TBD once corridor is established.

Existing Bicycle and Pedestrian Projects Currently in the TIP with Updated Schedule and Cost Estimates									
Activity	Previous	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	FY 2030-2034	TIP Total
PL				\$ 25					\$ 25
PE					TBD	TBD			\$ -
ROW					TBD	TBD			\$ -
CONST					TBD	TBD			\$ -
TOTAL	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 25	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 25



Project: Travelers Rest Bike/Ped Network Expansion

Total Cost (thousands): \$20 total for PL Phase, remainder TBD (\$20 of total cost between 2025 – 2034)

Description: A combination of shared-use paths, bike lanes, and bike routes following the US 276 Corridor, Poinsett Highway, and McElhaney Road

Purpose and Need: Active transportation access to schools, regional parks, neighborhoods, and jobs/services. This project expands the existing bike/pedestrian network in Travelers Rest to provide connections to schools, downtown, and the Swamp Rabbit Trail.


County / City: City of Travelers Rest

Program Type: Guideshare

Funding Source: Surface Transportation Program (STP)

Remarks: Project scope is TBD once the priority area is established.

Existing Bicycle and Pedestrian Projects Currently in the TIP with Updated Schedule and Cost Estimates									
Activity	Previous	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	FY 2030-2034	TIP Total
PL			\$ 20						\$ 20
PE				TBD					\$ -
ROW				TBD					\$ -
CONST				TBD					\$ -
TOTAL	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 20	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 20



Transit Capital Project

Project: GTA – Greenlink Capital.....Error! Bookmark not defined.66

Total Cost (thousands): \$23,210 Total

Description: Funding for transit services in the GPATS region

Purpose and Need: To providing funding to maintain and or expand transit services

County / City: Cities and counties where GTA operates transit services

Program Type: Guideshare

Funding Source: GTA and other local sources used to fund transit

Remarks: Project funding will not begin until 2024 as this is a new program.

Project: GTA – Greenlink Capital

Total Cost (thousands): \$23,210 total

Description: Funding towards capital such as bus replacements

Purpose and Need: To provide additional funding for capital purchases

County / City: City of Greenville and County of Greenville

Program Type: Guideshare

Funding Source: Surface Transportation Program (STP)

Remarks: Funds will be utilized to purchase capital to support GTA’s current services and/or expansion of services.

Transit Capital Project currently in the TIP with Updated Schedule and Cost Estimates									
	Previous	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	FY 2030- 2034	TIP Total
GTA - Greenlink Capital		\$ 2,321	\$ 2,321	\$ 2,321	\$ 2,321	\$ 2,321	\$ 2,321	\$ 11,605	\$ 23,210

Guideshare Traffic Signal Retiming Corridors

Total Cost (thousands): \$7,300 total

Description: Scope of project will vary at each corridor, but will generally involve SCDOT assessing and retiming the signalization along the corridor

Purpose and Need: To improve traffic safety and traffic flow

County / City: Region-wide

Program Type: Guideshare

Funding Source: Surface Transportation Program (STP), with local support as needed

Remarks: This project will be ongoing as corridors require retiming.

Traffic Signal Retiming Corridors									
Activity	Previous	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	FY 2030-2034	TIP Total
US 123, Easley	\$ 122								\$ -
Pelham Road, Greenville	\$ 122								\$ -
US 276 (Cherrydale), Greenville/Greenville County	\$ 80								\$ -
Woodruff Road (Scuffletown), Greenville County	\$ 55								\$ -
SC 93, Clemson	\$ 50								\$ -
US 276-West Butler Road, Mauldin	\$ 55								\$ -
Fairview Road, Simpsonville	\$ 90								\$ -
East Butler Road, Mauldin	\$ 70								\$ -
Signal Retiming Allocation	\$ 1,200	\$ 1,310	\$ 1,460	\$ 1,460	\$ 1,460	\$ 1,460	\$ 1,460		\$ 7,300
Signal Retiming Balance	\$ 556	\$ 1,866	\$ 3,326	\$ 4,786	\$ 6,246	\$ 7,706	\$ 9,166		\$ 31,230

Non-Guideshare (Other Exempt Projects)

The projects listed in this section are funded by the Recreational Trails Program, the Appalachian Development Highway System, SCDOT's statewide Interstate Upgrade Program or the economic development highway program administered by the S.C. Department of Commerce.

Project:	Greenville County Poinsett Corridor Pedestrian and Lighting (US-276/Poinsett Hwy between S-23-21/Rutherford Rd & US-25/Hwy-25).....	70
Project:	S-1136 (Perimeter Rd) Resurfacing/Rehabilitation (between 34.744535, -82.386863 & Old N Fork Shoals Rd).....	71
Project:	SC-93 at Clayton St – Town of Central	72
Project:	Doodle Trail Extension and Amenities	73
Project:	Mineral Spring Trail - Town Of Williamston.....	74
Project:	Clemson Biking and Walking Trail – Segment 2 (between Perimeter Rd & S-39-115).....	75
Project:	West Georgia Road (S-272) from Neely Ferry Rd to Fork Shoals (S-23-541/W Georgia Rd between S-23-958/Neely Ferry Rd & SC-146/Fork Shoals Rd).....	76
Project:	Woodside Streetscape (Diamond Tip Blvd/Shaw St/Woodside Ave/S-23-211/Jones St between Fairview St & Wall St).....	77
Project:	SC-183 Farris Bridge Road (Alex Dr./Saluda View Dr. to SC-135) Widening	78
Project:	Parallel Swamp Rabbit Trail in Unity Park	79
Project:	Green Crescent Trail, Clemson Park Loop.....	80
Project:	Bolick/Cannon Trail, Town of Central.....	81
Project:	The Pavilion Miniature Rail-Trail	82
Project:	Green Crescent Trail, Gateway Park Connector	83
Project:	Conestee Park Mountain Biking – Conestee Nature Preserve	84
Project:	Gilder Creek Multi-use Trail – City of Mauldin	85
Project:	Nicholtown Mountain Bike and Hike Trail	86
Project:	I-85 Safety Improvements MM 30 to MM 60 (I-85 between SC-8/Easley Hwy & SC-101)	87
Project:	Bridge S-23-384 at Brushy Creek (S-23-384/Shannon Dr Bridge over Brushy Creek between Lake Fairfield Dr & Linwood Ave)	88
Project:	Bridge S-23-125 over Saluda River.....	89
Project:	Bridge S-4-931 over Big Creek.....	90
Project:	Bridge S-39-140 over Shoal Creek.....	91
Project:	Bridge S-39-250 over Doddies Creek.....	92
Project:	Bridge US-123 SB over Georges Creek	93
Project:	Bridge US-124 over Georges Creek	94
Project:	Bridge SC-183 over Twelve Mile Creek	95
Project:	Bridge SC-183 over Gregory/Cannon Creek	96
Project:	Bridge S-42-31 over Peters Creek.....	97
Project:	Bridge S-37-51 over Snow Creek	98
Project:	Bridge S-23-41 over Middle Saluda	99
Project:	Bridge S-23-40 over South Saluda	100
Project:	Bridge S-37-133 over Little Cane Creek	101
Project:	Bridge S-42-197 over South Tyger River.....	102
Project:	Bridge S-39-160 over Tributary to Keowee River.....	103
Project:	Bridge S-23-310 over Tributary to Richland Creek	104
Project:	Bridge S-23-102 over Armstrong Creek	105
Project:	Bridge S-39-32 over Cedar Creek	106
Project:	Bridge S-23-94 over Tributary to Enoree River	107
Project:	Bridge S-23-149 (W Faris Road) over Brushy Creek.....	108
Project:	Bridge S-23-80 (S. Hudson Street) over Reedy River	109
Project:	Bridge SC-124 Old Easley Hwy over Saluda River.....	110
Project:	Bridge SC-418 over Huff Creek	111
Project:	Bridge US-29 Wade Hampton Blvd over Mtn. Creek.....	112

Project:	Bridge US-276 Geer Hwy over Middle Saluda River.....	113
Project:	Bridge SC-8 Easley Hwy over US-29.....	114
Project:	Bridge SC-81 Anderson Rd over Saluda River & S-4-143.....	115
Project:	Bridge SC-146 N. Hwy over Enoree River.....	116
Project:	Bridge SC-135 Dacusville Hwy over Shoals Creek.....	117
Project:	Bridge S-277 over Twelve Mile Creek.....	118
Project:	Bridge S-250 over Machine Creek.....	119
Project:	S-23-279 - Reid School Rd (between 34.928487, -82.313788 & US-29/Wade Hampton Blvd)	120
Project:	SC-146 Corridor (S-23-183 to S-23-654) (SC-146/Woodruff Rd between S-23-183/Roper Mountain Rd & S-23-654/Bagwell Rd)	121
Project:	US-25 Corridor (I-85 to S-23-782) (US-25/White Horse Rd between I-85 & S-23-782/Lily St).....	122
Project:	Intersection Improvement S-23-83 (Old Grove Rd) / L-27 (Bracken Rd)	123
Project:	Intersection Improvement S-42-908 / L-745(Gap Creek Rdoad / Hampton Rd)	124
Project:	Intersection Improvement SC-135 (Dacusville Hwy) / S-95 (Jameson Rd)	125
Project:	Intersection Improvement SC-81 (Anderson Rd) / S-23-327 (Old Dunham Bridge Rd)	126
Project:	Intersection Improvement US-29 / S-4-96 (Welcome Rd)	127
Project:	Intersection Improvement SC-81(Anderson Rd) / L-183 (McNeely Rd)	128
Project:	Intersection Improvement SC-81 (Anderson Rd) / L-912 (Cely Ln).....	129
Project:	Intersection Improvement SC-20 with S-23-260.....	130
Project:	Intersection Improvement SC-290 (Locust Hill Rd) / S-173 (Tigerville Rd).....	131
Project:	US-276 with S-23-3.....	132
Project:	US-123 with SC-124	133
Project:	SC-81 with S-23-149	134
Project:	S-23-540 with L-1969.....	135
Project:	SC-183 (Farrs Bridge Rd) / S-39-55 (Ireland Rd)	136
Project:	US-25 / US-25 Conn	137
Project:	SC-183 to MP 4.2 to 6 (Cedar Lane Rd).....	138
Project:	RSA US-29	139
Project:	SC-291 N Pleasantburg Dr & White Oak Dr.....	140
Project:	US-29 Corridor Signal Retiming (US-29/Mills Ave, Church St, & Wade Hampton Blvd between Henrydale Ave & S-42-908/Gap Creek Rd)	141
Project:	I-85 (Widening from SC-153 to Near SC-85) (I-85 between SC-153/Exit 40 & I-85 Business/Exit 69).....	142
Project:	I-85 at I-385 (Exit 51).....	143
Project:	I-85 at Rocky Creek Bridge (I-85 between I-385 & Pelham Rd).....	144
Project:	S-664 (River Street/Richardson Street) MP 0.23 – MP 1.07.....	145
Project:	US 29 (Wade Hampton Boulevard) MP 11.3 – MP 12.06.....	146
Project:	US 276 (Poinsett Highway) MP 32.38 – MP 33.24.....	147

Project: *Greenville County Poinsett Corridor Pedestrian and Lighting
(US-276/Poinsett Hwy between S-23-21/Rutherford Rd & US-
25/Hwy-25)*

Total Cost (thousands): \$4,000 total includes \$2,255 local funds and \$1,245 TA funds

Description: Pedestrian and streetscape improvements along the corridor, including the installation of sidewalks, landscape buffer, planted median, and sidewalks

Purpose: To provide safer facilities for pedestrians by widening sidewalks, adding lighting, and calming vehicular traffic


County / City: County of Greenville

Program Type: Non-Guideshare

Funding Source: Appalachian Regional Commission (ARC), See Transportation Alternatives Program (TAP) Section, & County of Greenville

Remarks:

Existing Non-Guideshare Projects Currently in the TIP with Updated Schedule and Cost Estimates									
Activity	Previous	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	FY 2030-2034	TIP Total
PE	\$ 4,000								\$ -
ROW									\$ -
CONST									\$ -
TOTAL	\$ 4,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -



Project: S-1136 (Perimeter Rd) Resurfacing/Rehabilitation (between 34.744535, -82.386863 & Old N Fork Shoals Rd)

Total Cost (thousands): \$1,241 total

Description: Resurfacing and Pavement Rehabilitation

Purpose: To maintain good infrastructure condition


County / City: City of Greenville

Program Type: Non-Guideshare

Funding Source: Appalachian Regional Commission (ARC)

Remarks: Project is Complete.

Existing Non-Guideshare Projects Currently in the TIP with Updated Schedule and Cost Estimates									
Activity	Previous	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	FY 2030-2034	TIP Total
PE									\$ -
ROW									\$ -
CONST	\$ 1,241								\$ -
TOTAL	\$ 1,241	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -



Project: SC-93 at Clayton St – Town of Central

Total Cost (thousands): \$664 total includes \$365 from local funds

Description: Intersection improvements such as turn lanes and a designated entrance and exit

Purpose: To aid buses trying to use the intersection


County / City: County of Greenville and City of Greenville

Program Type: Non-Guideshare

Funding Source: Appalachian Regional Commission (ARC) and local

Remarks: This project has been completed and is fully operational.

Existing Non-Guideshare Projects Currently in the TIP with Updated Schedule and Cost Estimates									
	Previous	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	FY 2030-2034	TIP Total
PE									\$ -
ROW	\$ 664								\$ -
CONST									\$ -
TOTAL	\$ 664	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -



Project: Doodle Trail Extension and Amenities

Total Cost (thousands): \$100 total

Description: Extend the Doodle Trail to connect to existing 7.5 miles of trail and construct a trailhead/park with trail amenities, signage, bike racks and benches

Purpose: To provide more bicycle and pedestrian infrastructure to residents and visitors to the Pickens area


County / City: County of Pickens and City of Pickens

Program Type: Non-Guideshare (RTC)

Funding Source: Recreational Trails Program (RTP)

Remarks: This project has been completed and is fully operational.

Existing Non-Guideshare Projects Currently in the TIP with Updated Schedule and Cost Estimates									
Activity	Previous	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	FY 2030-2034	TIP Total
PE									\$ -
ROW	\$ 100								\$ -
CONST									\$ -
TOTAL	\$ 100	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -



Project: Mineral Spring Trail - Town Of Williamston

Total Cost (thousands): \$76 total

Description: Construction of Phase II of the multi-use greenway — a .5-mile extension that travels by the old water treatment plant

Purpose: To provide bicycle and pedestrian infrastructure alternatives to residents and visitors to Williamston


County / City: County of Anderson and Town of Williamston

Program Type: Non-Guideshare

Funding Source: Recreational Trails Program (RTP)

Remarks: This project has been completed and is fully operational.

Existing Non-Guideshare Projects Currently in the TIP with Updated Schedule and Cost Estimates									
Activity	Previous	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	FY 2030-2034	TIP Total
PE									\$ -
ROW	\$ 76								\$ -
CONST									\$ -
TOTAL	\$ 76	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -



Project: Clemson Biking and Walking Trail – Segment 2 (between Perimeter Rd & S-39-115)

Total Cost (thousands): \$1,000 total includes \$500 from local funds

Description: Approximately 1 mile shared-use path beginning at Perimeter Road and Old Stadium Road and ending near the trail head of Clemson University Trail at the intersection of W. Cherry Road and W. Queen Street

Purpose: To provide transportation alternatives to Clemson University residents and visitors

County / City: City of Clemson

Program Type: Non-Guideshare

Funding Source: Appalachian Regional Commission (ARC) and Local

Remarks: Project is in Preliminary Engineering. Surveys have been ordered.

Existing Non-Guideshare Projects Currently in the TIP with Updated Schedule and Cost Estimates									
Activity	Previous	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	FY 2030-2034	TIP Total
PL									\$ -
PE	\$ 500								\$ -
ROW	\$ 60								\$ -
CONST	\$ 440								\$ -
TOTAL	\$ 1,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -



Project: *West Georgia Road (S-272) from Neely Ferry Rd to Fork Shoals (S-23-541/W Georgia Rd between S-23-958/Neely Ferry Rd & SC-146/Fork Shoals Rd)*

Total Cost (thousands): \$ 4,468 total includes \$692 local funds

Description: Intersection improvements along W. Georgia Rd at Neely Ferry, E. Standing Springs Rd, and Rocky Creek Rd. Project also includes widening Kettle Oak Way to Rio Grande and Morning Mist Ln to Malibu Ln.

Purpose: To improve system reliability on the corridor


County / City: County of Greenville

Program Type: Non-Guideshare

Funding Source: Earmark

Remarks: Project is close to letting for construction.

Existing Non-Guideshare Projects Currently in the TIP with Updated Schedule and Cost Estimates									
Activity	Previous	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	FY 2030-2034	TIP Total
PE	\$ 1,000								\$ -
ROW	\$ 565								\$ -
CONST	\$ 692	\$ 2,211							\$ -
TOTAL	\$ 2,257	\$ 2,211	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -



Project: Woodside Streetscape (Diamond Tip Blvd/Shaw St/Woodside Ave/S-23-211/Jones St between Fairview St & Wall St)

Total Cost (thousands): \$789 total includes \$310 local funds

Description: Installation of ADA compliant sidewalks and shared-use path beginning at the intersection of Fairview St and Diamond Tip Blvd, following Woodside Ave and ending at the intersection of Jones St and Wall St. Project includes a new alignment through Woodside Park.

Purpose: To provide safe and aesthetically pleasing bike and pedestrian infrastructure to residents and visitors of Fountain Inn

County / City: City of Fountain Inn

Program Type: Non-Guideshare

Funding Source: Transportation Enhancements (TE), Appalachian Regional Commission (ARC), and Local

Remarks:

Existing Non-Guideshare Projects Currently in the TIP with Updated Schedule and Cost Estimates									
Activity	Previous	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	FY 2030-2034	TIP Total
PE									\$ -
ROW	\$ 59								\$ -
CONST	\$ 730								\$ -
TOTAL	\$ 789	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -



Project: SC-183 Farris Bridge Road (Alex Dr./Saluda View Dr. to SC-135) Widening

Total Cost (thousands):

Description:

Purpose:

County / City: County of Pickens

Program Type: Non-Guideshare

Funding Source: SCTIB and Pickens County Local

Remarks: Scope to be determined

Existing Non-Guideshare Projects Currently in the TIP with Updated Schedule and Cost Estimates									
Activity	Previous	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	FY 2030-2034	TIP Total
PE									\$ -
ROW									\$ -
CONST									\$ -
TOTAL	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

Insert Map

Project: Parallel Swamp Rabbit Trail in Unity Park

Total Cost (thousands): \$125 total

Description:

Purpose:


County / City: City of Greenville

Program Type: Non-Guideshare

Funding Source: Recreational Trails Program (RTP)

Remarks:

Existing Non-Guideshare Projects Currently in the TIP with Updated Schedule and Cost Estimates									
Activity	Previous	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	FY 2030-2034	TIP Total
PE	\$ 125								\$ -
ROW									\$ -
CONST									\$ -
TOTAL	\$ 125	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -



Project: Green Crescent Trail, Clemson Park Loop

Total Cost (thousands): \$125 total

Description:

Purpose:

County / City: City of Clemson

Program Type: Non-Guideshare

Funding Source: Recreational Trails Program (RTP)

Remarks:

Existing Non-Guideshare Projects Currently in the TIP with Updated Schedule and Cost Estimates									
Activity	Previous	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	FY 2030-2034	TIP Total
PE	\$ 125								\$ -
ROW									\$ -
CONST									\$ -
TOTAL	\$ 125	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

Insert Map

Project: Bolick/Cannon Trail, Town of Central

Total Cost (thousands): \$125 total

Description:

Purpose:

County / City: Town of Central

Program Type: Non-Guideshare

Funding Source: Recreational Trails Program (RTP)

Remarks:

Existing Non-Guideshare Projects Currently in the TIP with Updated Schedule and Cost Estimates									
Activity	Previous	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	FY 2030-2034	TIP Total
PE	\$ 125								\$ -
ROW									\$ -
CONST									\$ -
TOTAL	\$ 125	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

Insert Map

Project: The Pavilion Miniature Rail-Trail

Total Cost (thousands): \$125 total

Description:

Purpose:

County / City:

Program Type: Non-Guideshare

Funding Source: Recreational Trails Program (RTP)

Remarks:

Existing Non-Guideshare Projects Currently in the TIP with Updated Schedule and Cost Estimates									
Activity	Previous	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	FY 2030-2034	TIP Total
PE	\$ 125								\$ -
ROW									\$ -
CONST									\$ -
TOTAL	\$ 125	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

Insert Map

Project: Green Crescent Trail, Gateway Park Connector

Total Cost (thousands): \$100 total

Description:

Purpose:

County / City: City of Clemson

Program Type: Non-Guideshare

Funding Source: Recreational Trails Program (RTP)

Remarks:

Existing Non-Guideshare Projects Currently in the TIP with Updated Schedule and Cost Estimates									
Activity	Previous	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	FY 2030-2034	TIP Total
PE	\$ 100								\$ -
ROW									\$ -
CONST									\$ -
TOTAL	\$ 100	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

Insert Map

Project: Conestee Park Mountain Biking – Conestee Nature Preserve

Total Cost (thousands): \$60 total

Description:

Purpose:

County / City:

Program Type: Non-Guideshare

Funding Source: Recreational Trails Program (RTP)

Remarks:

Existing Non-Guideshare Projects Currently in the TIP with Updated Schedule and Cost Estimates									
Activity	Previous	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	FY 2030-2034	TIP Total
PE	\$ 60								\$ -
ROW									\$ -
CONST									\$ -
TOTAL	\$ 60	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

Insert Map

Project: Gilder Creek Multi-use Trail – City of Mauldin

Total Cost (thousands): \$66 total

Description:

Purpose:

County / City: City of Mauldin

Program Type: Non-Guideshare

Funding Source: Recreational Trails Program (RTP)

Remarks:

Existing Non-Guideshare Projects Currently in the TIP with Updated Schedule and Cost Estimates									
Activity	Previous	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	FY 2030-2034	TIP Total
PE	\$ 66								\$ -
ROW									\$ -
CONST									\$ -
TOTAL	\$ 66	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

Insert Map

Project: Nicholtown Mountain Bike and Hike Trail

Total Cost (thousands): \$100 total

Description:

Purpose:

County / City: City of Greenville

Program Type: Non-Guideshare

Funding Source: Recreational Trails Program (RTP)

Remarks:

Existing Non-Guideshare Projects Currently in the TIP with Updated Schedule and Cost Estimates									
Activity	Previous	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	FY 2030-2034	TIP Total
PE	\$ 100								\$ -
ROW									\$ -
CONST									\$ -
TOTAL	\$ 100	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

Insert Map

Project: *I-85 Safety Improvements MM 30 to MM 60 (I-85 between SC-8/Easley Hwy & SC-101)*

Total Cost (thousands): \$3,100 total

Description: Safety improvements along the corridor

Purpose: To create a safer environment for roadway users and reduce accidents and fatalities


County / City: County of Anderson, County of Greenville, and County of Spartanburg

Program Type: Non-Guideshare

Funding Source: Safety/HSP

Remarks:

Existing Non-Guideshare Projects Currently in the TIP with Updated Schedule and Cost Estimates									
Activity	Previous	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	FY 2030-2034	TIP Total
PE	\$ 100								\$ -
ROW									\$ -
CONST	\$ 3,000								\$ -
TOTAL	\$ 3,100	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -



*Project Bridge S-23-384 at Brushy Creek (S-23-384/Shannon Dr
Bridge over Brushy Creek between Lake Fairfield Dr &
Linwood Ave)*

Total Cost (thousands): \$4,486 total

Description: Bridge replacements

Purpose: To maintain good infrastructure condition


County / City: County of Greenville

Program Type: Non-Guideshare

Funding Source: Bridge Replacement

Remarks:

Existing Non-Guideshare Projects Currently in the TIP with Updated Schedule and Cost Estimates									
Activity	Previous	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	FY 2030-2034	TIP Total
PE	\$ 1,014								\$ -
ROW	\$ 236								\$ -
CONST	\$ 3,236								\$ -
TOTAL	\$ 4,486	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -



Project: Bridge S-23-125 over Saluda River

Total Cost (thousands): \$5,019 total

Description: Bridge replacement

Purpose: To maintain good infrastructure condition


County / City: County of Greenville

Program Type: Non-Guideshare

Funding Source: Bridge Replacement

Remarks:

Existing Non-Guideshare Projects Currently in the TIP with Updated Schedule and Cost Estimates									
Activity	Previous	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	FY 2030-2034	TIP Total
PE	\$ 1,131								\$ -
ROW	\$ 60								\$ -
CONST					\$ 3,828				\$ 3,828
TOTAL	\$ 1,191	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 3,828	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 3,828



Project: Bridge S-4-931 over Big Creek

Total Cost (thousands): \$2,950 total (\$2,350 of total cost between 2025 – 2034)

Description: Bridge replacement

Purpose: To maintain good infrastructure condition


County / City: County of Anderson

Program Type: Non-Guideshare

Funding Source: Bridge Replacement

Remarks:

Existing Non-Guideshare Projects Currently in the TIP with Updated Schedule and Cost Estimates									
Activity	Previous	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	FY 2030-2034	TIP Total
PE	\$ 600								\$ -
ROW					\$ 30				\$ 30
CONST					\$ 2,320				\$ 2,320
TOTAL	\$ 600	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,350	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,350



Project: Bridge S-39-140 over Shoal Creek

Total Cost (thousands): \$1,841 total

Description: Bridge replacement

Purpose: To maintain good infrastructure condition


County / City: County of Pickens

Program Type: Non-Guideshare

Funding Source: Bridge Replacement

Remarks:

Existing Non-Guideshare Projects Currently in the TIP with Updated Schedule and Cost Estimates									
Activity	Previous	FY2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	FY 2030-2034	TIP Total
PE	\$ 320								\$ -
ROW	\$ 18								\$ -
CONST	\$ 1,503								\$ -
TOTAL	\$ 1,841	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -



Project: Bridge S-39-250 over Doddies Creek

Total Cost (thousands): \$1,797 total

Description: Bridge replacement

Purpose: To maintain good infrastructure condition


County / City: County of Pickens

Program Type: Non-Guideshare

Funding Source: Bridge Replacement

Remarks:

Existing Non-Guideshare Projects Currently in the TIP with Updated Schedule and Cost Estimates									
Activity	Previous	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	FY 2030-2034	TIP Total
PE	\$ 310								\$ -
ROW	\$ 64								\$ -
CONST	\$ 1,423								\$ -
TOTAL	\$ 1,797	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -



Project: Bridge US-123 SB over Georges Creek

Total Cost (thousands): \$20,612 total

Description: Bridge replacement

Purpose: To maintain good infrastructure condition


County / City: County of Pickens

Program Type: Non-Guideshare

Funding Source: Bridge Replacement

Remarks:

Existing Non-Guideshare Projects Currently in the TIP with Updated Schedule and Cost Estimates									
Activity	Previous	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	FY 2030-2034	TIP Total
PE	\$ 367								\$ -
ROW									\$ -
CONST	\$ 20,245								\$ -
TOTAL	\$ 20,612	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -



Project: Bridge US-124 over Georges Creek

Total Cost (thousands): \$8,242 total

Description: Bridge replacement

Purpose: To maintain good infrastructure condition

County / City: County of Pickens

Program Type: Non-Guideshare

Funding Source: Bridge Replacement

Remarks:

Existing Non-Guideshare Projects Currently in the TIP with Updated Schedule and Cost Estimates									
Activity	Previous	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	FY 2030-2034	TIP Total
PE	\$ 367								\$ -
ROW									\$ -
CONST	\$ 7,875								\$ -
TOTAL	\$ 8,242	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -



Project: Bridge SC-183 over Twelve Mile Creek

Total Cost (thousands): \$11,555 total

Description: Bridge replacement

Purpose: To maintain good infrastructure condition

County / City: County of Pickens

Program Type: Non-Guideshare

Funding Source: Bridge Replacement

Remarks:

Existing Non-Guideshare Projects Currently in the TIP with Updated Schedule and Cost Estimates									
Activity	Previous	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	FY 2030-2034	TIP Total
PE	\$ 367								\$ -
ROW									\$ -
CONST	\$ 11,188								\$ -
TOTAL	\$ 11,555	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

Insert Map

Project: Bridge SC-183 over Gregory/Cannon Creek

Total Cost (thousands): \$9,013 total

Description: Bridge replacement

Purpose: To maintain good infrastructure condition

County / City: County of Pickens

Program Type: Non-Guideshare

Funding Source: Bridge Replacement

Remarks:

Existing Non-Guideshare Projects Currently in the TIP with Updated Schedule and Cost Estimates									
Activity	Previous	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	FY 2030-2034	TIP Total
PE	\$ 367								\$ -
ROW									\$ -
CONST	\$ 8,646								\$ -
TOTAL	\$ 9,013	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

Insert Map

Project: Bridge S-42-31 over Peters Creek

Total Cost (thousands): \$4,214 total

Description: Bridge replacement

Purpose: To maintain good infrastructure condition

County / City: County of Spartanburg

Program Type: Non-Guideshare

Funding Source: Bridge Replacement

Remarks:

Existing Non-Guideshare Projects Currently in the TIP with Updated Schedule and Cost Estimates									
Activity	Previous	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	FY 2030-2034	TIP Total
PE	\$ 101								\$ -
ROW									\$ -
CONST		\$ 4,113							\$ -
TOTAL	\$ 101	\$ 4,113	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

Insert Map

Project: Bridge S-37-51 over Snow Creek

Total Cost (thousands): \$2,704 total

Description: Bridge replacement

Purpose: To maintain good infrastructure condition

County / City: County of Oconee

Program Type: Non-Guideshare

Funding Source: Bridge Replacement

Remarks:

Existing Non-Guideshare Projects Currently in the TIP with Updated Schedule and Cost Estimates									
Activity	Previous	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	FY 2030-2034	TIP Total
PE	\$ 101								\$ -
ROW									\$ -
CONST		\$ 2,603							\$ -
TOTAL	\$ 101	\$ 2,603	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

Insert Map

Project: Bridge S-23-41 over Middle Saluda

Total Cost (thousands): \$3,161 total

Description: Bridge replacement

Purpose: To maintain good infrastructure condition

County / City: County of Greenville

Program Type: Non-Guideshare

Funding Source: Bridge Replacement

Remarks:

Existing Non-Guideshare Projects Currently in the TIP with Updated Schedule and Cost Estimates									
Activity	Previous	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	FY 2030-2034	TIP Total
PE	\$ 101								\$ -
ROW									\$ -
CONST		\$ 3,060							\$ -
TOTAL	\$ 101	\$ 3,060	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

Insert Map

Project: Bridge S-23-40 over South Saluda

Total Cost (thousands): \$3,153 total

Description: Bridge replacement

Purpose: To maintain good infrastructure condition

County / City: County of Greenville

Program Type: Non-Guideshare

Funding Source: Bridge Replacement

Remarks:

Existing Non-Guideshare Projects Currently in the TIP with Updated Schedule and Cost Estimates									
Activity	Previous	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	FY 2030-2034	TIP Total
PE	\$ 101								\$ -
ROW									\$ -
CONST		\$ 3,052							\$ -
TOTAL	\$ 101	\$ 3,052	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

Insert Map

Project: Bridge S-37-133 over Little Cane Creek

Total Cost (thousands): \$3,369 total

Description: Bridge replacement

Purpose: To maintain good infrastructure condition

County / City: County of Oconee

Program Type: Non-Guideshare

Funding Source: Bridge Replacement

Remarks:

Existing Non-Guideshare Projects Currently in the TIP with Updated Schedule and Cost Estimates									
Activity	Previous	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	FY 2030-2034	TIP Total
PE	\$ 101								\$ -
ROW									\$ -
CONST		\$ 3,268							\$ -
TOTAL	\$ 101	\$ 3,268	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

Insert Map

Project: Bridge S-42-197 over South Tyger River

Total Cost (thousands): \$4,792 total

Description: Bridge replacement

Purpose: To maintain good infrastructure condition

County / City: County of Spartanburg

Program Type: Non-Guideshare

Funding Source: Bridge Replacement

Remarks:

Existing Non-Guideshare Projects Currently in the TIP with Updated Schedule and Cost Estimates									
Activity	Previous	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	FY 2030-2034	TIP Total
PE	\$ 101								\$ -
ROW									\$ -
CONST		\$ 4,691							\$ -
TOTAL	\$ 101	\$ 4,691	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

Insert Map

Project: Bridge S-39-160 over Tributary to Keowee River

Total Cost (thousands): \$3,250 total

Description: Bridge replacement

Purpose: To maintain good infrastructure condition

County / City: County of Pickens

Program Type: Non-Guideshare

Funding Source: Bridge Replacement

Remarks:

Existing Non-Guideshare Projects Currently in the TIP with Updated Schedule and Cost Estimates									
Activity	Previous	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	FY 2030-2034	TIP Total
PE	\$ 101								\$ -
ROW									\$ -
CONST		\$ 3,149							\$ -
TOTAL	\$ 101	\$ 3,149	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

Insert Map

Project: Bridge S-23-310 over Tributary to Richland Creek

Total Cost (thousands): \$1,838 total

Description: Bridge replacement

Purpose: To maintain good infrastructure condition


County / City: County of Greenville

Program Type: Non-Guideshare

Funding Source: Bridge Replacement

Remarks:

Existing Non-Guideshare Projects Currently in the TIP with Updated Schedule and Cost Estimates									
Activity	Previous	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	FY 2030-2034	TIP Total
PE	\$ 101								\$ -
ROW									\$ -
CONST		\$ 1,737							\$ -
TOTAL	\$ 101	\$ 1,737	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -



Project: Bridge S-23-102 over Armstrong Creek

Total Cost (thousands): \$2,748 total

Description: Bridge replacement

Purpose: To maintain good infrastructure condition


County / City: County of Greenville

Program Type: Non-Guideshare

Funding Source: Bridge Replacement

Remarks:

Existing Non-Guideshare Projects Currently in the TIP with Updated Schedule and Cost Estimates									
Activity	Previous	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	FY 2030-2034	TIP Total
PE	\$ 101								\$ -
ROW									\$ -
CONST		\$ 2,647							\$ -
TOTAL	\$ 101	\$ 2,647	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -



Project: Bridge S-39-32 over Cedar Creek

Total Cost (thousands): \$2,779 total

Description: Bridge replacement

Purpose: To maintain good infrastructure condition

County / City: County of Pickens

Program Type: Non-Guideshare

Funding Source: Bridge Replacement

Remarks:

Existing Non-Guideshare Projects Currently in the TIP with Updated Schedule and Cost Estimates									
Activity	Previous	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	FY 2030-2034	TIP Total
PE	\$ 101								\$ -
ROW									\$ -
CONST		\$ 2,678							\$ -
TOTAL	\$ 101	\$ 2,678	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

Insert Map

Project: Bridge S-23-94 over Tributary to Enoree River

Total Cost (thousands): \$2,868 total

Description: Bridge replacement

Purpose: To maintain good infrastructure condition

County / City: County of Greenville

Program Type: Non-Guideshare

Funding Source: Bridge Replacement

Remarks:

Existing Non-Guideshare Projects Currently in the TIP with Updated Schedule and Cost Estimates									
Activity	Previous	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	FY 2030-2034	TIP Total
PE	\$ 101								\$ -
ROW									\$ -
CONST		\$ 2,767							\$ -
TOTAL	\$ 101	\$ 2,767	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -



Project: Bridge S-23-149 (W Faris Road) over Brushy Creek

Total Cost (thousands): \$6,290 total

Description: Bridge replacement

Purpose: To maintain good infrastructure condition


County / City: County of Greenville

Program Type: Non-Guideshare

Funding Source: Bridge Replacement

Remarks:

Existing Non-Guideshare Projects Currently in the TIP with Updated Schedule and Cost Estimates									
Activity	Previous	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	FY 2030-2034	TIP Total
PE	\$ 890								\$ -
ROW			\$ 400						\$ 400
CONST				\$ 5,000					\$ 5,000
TOTAL	\$ 890	\$ -	\$ 400	\$ 5,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 5,400



Project: Bridge S-23-80 (S. Hudson Street) over Reedy River

Total Cost (thousands): \$4,270 total

Description: Bridge replacement

Purpose: To maintain good infrastructure condition


County / City: County of Greenville

Program Type: Non-Guideshare

Funding Source: Bridge Replacement

Remarks:

Existing Non-Guideshare Projects Currently in the TIP with Updated Schedule and Cost Estimates									
Activity	Previous	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	FY 2030-2034	TIP Total
PE	\$ 890								\$ -
ROW			\$ 150						\$ 150
CONST				\$ 3,230					\$ 3,230
TOTAL	\$ 890	\$ -	\$ 150	\$ 3,230	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 3,380



Project: Bridge SC-124 Old Easley Hwy over Saluda River

Total Cost (thousands): \$41 total

Description: Bridge replacement

Purpose: To maintain good infrastructure condition

County / City: County of Greenville

Program Type: Non-Guideshare

Funding Source: Bridge Replacement

Remarks:

Existing Non-Guideshare Projects Currently in the TIP with Updated Schedule and Cost Estimates									
Activity	Previous	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	FY 2030-2034	TIP Total
PE	\$ 41								\$ -
ROW									\$ -
CONST									\$ -
TOTAL	\$ 41	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -



Project: Bridge SC-418 over Huff Creek

Total Cost (thousands): \$100 total

Description: Bridge replacement

Purpose: To maintain good infrastructure condition


County / City: County of Greenville

Program Type: Non-Guideshare

Funding Source: Bridge Replacement

Remarks:

Existing Non-Guideshare Projects Currently in the TIP with Updated Schedule and Cost Estimates									
Activity	Previous	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	FY 2030-2034	TIP Total
PE	\$ 100								\$ -
ROW									\$ -
CONST									\$ -
TOTAL	\$ 100	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -



Project: *Bridge US-29 Wade Hampton Blvd over Mtn. Creek*

Total Cost (thousands): \$83 total

Description: Bridge replacement


Purpose: To maintain good infrastructure condition

County / City: County of Greenville

Program Type: Non-Guideshare

Funding Source: Bridge Replacement

Remarks:

[illegible]

Project: Bridge US-276 Geer Hwy over Middle Saluda River

Total Cost (thousands): \$62 total

Description: Bridge replacement

Purpose: To maintain good infrastructure condition


County / City: County of Greenville

Program Type: Non-Guideshare

Funding Source: Bridge Replacement

Remarks:

Existing Non-Guideshare Projects Currently in the TIP with Updated Schedule and Cost Estimates									
Activity	Previous	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	FY 2030-2034	TIP Total
PE	\$ 62								\$ -
ROW									\$ -
CONST									\$ -
TOTAL	\$ 62	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -



Project: Bridge SC-8 Easley Hwy over US-29

Total Cost (thousands): \$41 total

Description: Bridge replacement

Purpose: To maintain good infrastructure condition

County / City: County of Anderson

Program Type: Non-Guideshare

Funding Source: Bridge Replacement

Remarks:

Existing Non-Guideshare Projects Currently in the TIP with Updated Schedule and Cost Estimates									
Activity	Previous	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	FY 2030-2034	TIP Total
PE	\$ 41								\$ -
ROW									\$ -
CONST									\$ -
TOTAL	\$ 41	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -



Project: Bridge SC-81 Anderson Rd over Saluda River & S-4-143

Total Cost (thousands): \$100 total

Description: Bridge replacement

Purpose: To maintain good infrastructure condition

County / City: County of Anderson & County of Greenville

Program Type: Non-Guideshare

Funding Source: Bridge Replacement

Remarks:

Existing Non-Guideshare Projects Currently in the TIP with Updated Schedule and Cost Estimates									
Activity	Previous	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	FY 2030-2034	TIP Total
PE	\$ 100								\$ -
ROW									\$ -
CONST									\$ -
TOTAL	\$ 100	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -



Project: Bridge SC-146 N. Hwy over Enoree River

Total Cost (thousands): \$100 total

Description: Bridge replacement

Purpose: To maintain good infrastructure condition


County / City: County of Greenville and County of Spartanburg

Program Type: Non-Guideshare

Funding Source: Bridge Replacement

Remarks:

Existing Non-Guideshare Projects Currently in the TIP with Updated Schedule and Cost Estimates									
Activity	Previous	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	FY 2030-2034	TIP Total
PE	\$ 100								\$ -
ROW									\$ -
CONST									\$ -
TOTAL	\$ 100	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -



Project: Bridge SC-135 Dacusville Hwy over Shoals Creek

Total Cost (thousands): \$45 total

Description: Bridge replacement

Purpose: To maintain good infrastructure condition


County / City: County of Pickens

Program Type: Non-Guideshare

Funding Source: Bridge Replacement

Remarks:

Existing Non-Guideshare Projects Currently in the TIP with Updated Schedule and Cost Estimates									
Activity	Previous	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	FY 2030-2034	TIP Total
PE	\$ 45								\$ -
ROW									\$ -
CONST									\$ -
TOTAL	\$ 45	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -



Project: Bridge S-277 over Twelve Mile Creek

Total Cost (thousands): \$8,070 total

Description: Bridge replacement

Purpose: To maintain good infrastructure condition

County / City: County of Pickens

Program Type: Non-Guideshare

Funding Source: Bridge Replacement

Remarks:

Existing Non-Guideshare Projects Currently in the TIP with Updated Schedule and Cost Estimates									
Activity	Previous	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	FY 2030-2034	TIP Total
PE	\$ 1,370								\$ -
ROW				\$ 20					\$ 20
CONST					\$ 6,680				\$ 6,680
TOTAL	\$ 1,370	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 20	\$ 6,680	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 6,700

-Insert Map-

Project: Bridge S-250 over Machine Creek

Total Cost (thousands): \$6,550 total

Description: Bridge replacement

Purpose: To maintain good infrastructure condition

County / City: County of Pickens

Program Type: Non-Guideshare

Funding Source: Bridge Replacement

Remarks:

Existing Non-Guideshare Projects Currently in the TIP with Updated Schedule and Cost Estimates									
Activity	Previous	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	FY 2030-2034	TIP Total
PE	\$ 1,210								\$ -
ROW		\$ 500							\$ -
CONST			\$ 4,840						\$ 4,840
TOTAL	\$ 1,210	\$ 500	\$ 4,840	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 4,840

-Insert Map_

Project: S-23-279 - Reid School Rd (between 34.928487, -82.313788 & US-29/Wade Hampton Blvd)

Total Cost (thousands): \$1,675 total

Description: Safety improvements along the corridor

Purpose: To create a safer environment for roadway users and reduce accidents and fatalities

County / City: County of Greenville

Program Type: Non-Guideshare

Funding Source: Safety

Remarks:

Existing Non-Guideshare Projects Currently in the TIP with Updated Schedule and Cost Estimates									
Activity	Previous	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	FY 2030-2034	TIP Total
PE	\$ 1,675								\$ -
ROW									\$ -
CONST									\$ -
TOTAL	\$ 1,675	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -



Project: SC-146 Corridor (S-23-183 to S-23-654) (SC-146/Woodruff Rd between S-23-183/Roper Mountain Rd & S-23-654/Bagwell Rd)

Total Cost (thousands): \$4,041 total

Description: Safety improvements along the corridor

Purpose: To create a safer environment for roadway users and reduce accidents and fatalities


County / City: County of Greenville

Program Type: Non-Guideshare

Funding Source: Safety

Remarks:

Existing Non-Guideshare Projects Currently in the TIP with Updated Schedule and Cost Estimates									
Activity	Previous	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	FY 2030-2034	TIP Total
PE	\$ 300								\$ -
ROW	\$ 50								\$ -
CONST	\$ 3,691								\$ -
TOTAL	\$ 4,041	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -



Project: US-25 Corridor (I-85 to S-23-782) (US-25/White Horse Rd between I-85 & S-23-782/Lily St)

Total Cost (thousands): \$2,350 total

Description: Safety improvements along the corridor

Purpose: To create a safer environment for roadway users and reduce accidents and fatalities


County / City: County of Greenville

Program Type: Non-Guideshare

Funding Source: Safety

Remarks:

Existing Non-Guideshare Projects Currently in the TIP with Updated Schedule and Cost Estimates									
Activity	Previous	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	FY 2030-2034	TIP Total
PE	\$ 300								\$ -
ROW	\$ 50								\$ -
CONST	\$ 2,000								\$ -
TOTAL	\$ 2,350	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -



Project: *Intersection Improvement S-23-83 (Old Grove Rd) / L-27 (Bracken Rd)*

Total Cost (thousands): \$2,300 total

Description: Safety improvements along the corridor

Purpose: To create a safer environment for roadway users and reduce accidents and fatalities


County / City: County of Greenville

Program Type: Non-Guideshare

Funding Source: Safety

Remarks:

Existing Non-Guideshare Projects Currently in the TIP with Updated Schedule and Cost Estimates									
Activity	Previous	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	FY 2030-2034	TIP Total
PE	\$ 200								\$ -
ROW	\$ 100								\$ -
CONST	\$ 2,000								\$ -
TOTAL	\$ 2,300	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -



Project: *Intersection Improvement S-42-908 / L-745(Gap Creek Road / Hampton Rd)*

Total Cost (thousands): \$2,450 total

Description: Safety improvements along the corridor

Purpose: To create a safer environment for roadway users and reduce accidents and fatalities


County / City: County of Spartanburg

Program Type: Non-Guideshare

Funding Source: Safety

Remarks:

Existing Non-Guideshare Projects Currently in the TIP with Updated Schedule and Cost Estimates									
Activity	Previous	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	FY 2030-2034	TIP Total
PE	\$ 200								\$ -
ROW	\$ 250								\$ -
CONST		\$ 2,000							\$ -
TOTAL	\$ 450	\$ 2,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -



Project: *Intersection Improvement SC-135 (Dacusville Hwy) / S-95 (Jameson Rd)*

Total Cost (thousands): \$2,300 total

Description: Safety improvements along the corridor

Purpose: To create a safer environment for roadway users and reduce accidents and fatalities


County / City: County of Pickens

Program Type: Non-Guideshare

Funding Source: Safety

Remarks:

Existing Non-Guideshare Projects Currently in the TIP with Updated Schedule and Cost Estimates									
Activity	Previous	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	FY 2030-2034	TIP Total
PE	\$ 200								\$ -
ROW	\$ 100								\$ -
CONST	\$ 2,000								\$ -
TOTAL	\$ 2,300	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -



Project: *Intersection Improvement SC-81 (Anderson Rd) / S-23-327 (Old Dunham Bridge Rd)*

Total Cost (thousands): \$1,250 total

Description: Safety improvements along the corridor

Purpose: To create a safer environment for roadway users and reduce accidents and fatalities


County / City: County of Anderson and County of Greenville

Program Type: Non-Guideshare

Funding Source: Safety

Remarks:

Existing Non-Guideshare Projects Currently in the TIP with Updated Schedule and Cost Estimates									
Activity	Previous	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	FY 2030-2034	TIP Total
PE	\$ 200								\$ -
ROW	\$ 50								\$ -
CONST	\$ 1,000								\$ -
TOTAL	\$ 1,250	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -



Project: Intersection Improvement US-29 / S-4-96 (Welcome Rd)

Total Cost (thousands): \$4,213 total

Description: Safety improvements along the corridor

Purpose: To create a safer environment for roadway users and reduce accidents and fatalities


County / City: County of Anderson

Program Type: Non-Guideshare

Funding Source: Safety

Remarks:

Existing Non-Guideshare Projects Currently in the TIP with Updated Schedule and Cost Estimates									
Activity	Previous	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	FY 2030-2034	TIP Total
PE	\$ 250								\$ -
ROW	\$ 272								\$ -
CONST	\$ 3,691								\$ -
TOTAL	\$ 4,213	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -



Project: Intersection Improvement SC-81(Anderson Rd) / L-183 (McNeely Rd)

Total Cost (thousands): \$700 total

Description: Safety improvements along the corridor

Purpose: To create a safer environment for roadway users and reduce accidents and fatalities

County / City: County of Anderson

Program Type: Non-Guideshare

Funding Source: Safety

Remarks:

Existing Non-Guideshare Projects Currently in the TIP with Updated Schedule and Cost Estimates									
Activity	Previous	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	FY 2030-2034	TIP Total
PE	\$ 150								\$ -
ROW									\$ -
CONST	\$ 550								\$ -
TOTAL	\$ 700	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -



Project: *Intersection Improvement SC-81 (Anderson Rd) / L-912 (Cely Ln)*

Total Cost (thousands): \$700 total

Description: Safety improvements along the corridor

Purpose: To create a safer environment for roadway users and reduce accidents and fatalities


County / City: County of Anderson

Program Type: Non-Guideshare

Funding Source: Safety

Remarks:

Existing Non-Guideshare Projects Currently in the TIP with Updated Schedule and Cost Estimates									
Activity	Previous	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	FY 2030-2034	TIP Total
PE	\$ 150								\$ -
ROW									\$ -
CONST	\$ 550								\$ -
TOTAL	\$ 700	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -



Project: Intersection Improvement SC-20 with S-23-260

Total Cost (thousands): \$751 total (\$600 total cost between 2025 – 2034)

Description: Safety improvements along the corridor

Purpose: To create a safer environment for roadway users and reduce accidents and fatalities

County / City: County of Greenville

Program Type: Non-Guideshare

Funding Source: Safety

Remarks:

Existing Non-Guideshare Projects Currently in the TIP with Updated Schedule and Cost Estimates									
Activity	Previous	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	FY 2030-2034	TIP Total
PE		\$ 150							\$ -
ROW		\$ 1							\$ -
CONST			\$ 600						\$ 600
TOTAL	\$ -	\$ 151	\$ 600	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 600

Insert Map

Project: *Intersection Improvement SC-290 (Locust Hill Rd) / S-173 (Tigerville Rd)*

Total Cost (thousands): \$2,525 total (\$2,250 total cost between 2025 – 2034)

Description: Safety improvements along corridor

Purpose: To create a safer environment for roadway users and reduce accidents and fatalities


County / City: County of Greenville

Program Type: Non-Guideshare

Funding Source: Safety

Remarks:

Existing Non-Guideshare Projects Currently in the TIP with Updated Schedule and Cost Estimates									
Activity	Previous	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	FY 2030-2034	TIP Total
PE	\$ 200								\$ -
ROW		\$ 75							\$ -
CONST			\$ 2,250						\$ 2,250
TOTAL	\$ 200	\$ 75	\$ 2,250	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,250



Project: US-276 with S-23-3

Total Cost (thousands): \$751 total (\$600 total cost between 2025 – 2034)

Description: Safety improvements along the corridor

Purpose: To create a safer environment for roadway users and reduce accidents and fatalities

County / City: County of Greenville

Program Type: Non-Guideshare

Funding Source: Safety

Remarks:

Existing Non-Guideshare Projects Currently in the TIP with Updated Schedule and Cost Estimates									
Activity	Previous	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	FY 2030-2034	TIP Total
PE		\$ 150							\$ -
ROW		\$ 1							\$ -
CONST			\$ 600						\$ 600
TOTAL	\$ -	\$ 151	\$ 600	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 600

Insert Map

Project: US-123 with SC-124

Total Cost (thousands): \$751 total (\$600 total cost between 2025 – 2034)

Description: Safety improvements along the corridor

Purpose: To create a safer environment for roadway users and reduce accidents and fatalities


County / City: County of Greenville

Program Type: Non-Guideshare

Funding Source: Safety

Remarks:

Existing Non-Guideshare Projects Currently in the TIP with Updated Schedule and Cost Estimates									
Activity	Previous	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	FY 2030-2034	TIP Total
PE		\$ 150							\$ -
ROW		\$ 1							\$ -
CONST			\$ 600						\$ 600
TOTAL	\$ -	\$ 151	\$ 600	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 600



Project: SC-81 with S-23-149

Total Cost (thousands): \$751 total (\$600 total cost between 2025 – 2034)

Description: Safety improvements along the corridor

Purpose: To create a safer environment for roadway users and reduce accidents and fatalities

County / City: County of Greenville

Program Type: Non-Guideshare

Funding Source: Safety

Remarks:

Existing Non-Guideshare Projects Currently in the TIP with Updated Schedule and Cost Estimates									
Activity	Previous	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	FY 2030-2034	TIP Total
PE		\$ 150							\$ -
ROW		\$ 1							\$ -
CONST			\$ 600						\$ 600
TOTAL	\$ -	\$ 151	\$ 600	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 600

Insert Map

Project: S-23-540 with L-1969

Total Cost (thousands): \$900 total (\$600 total cost between 2025 – 2034)

Description: Safety improvements along the corridor

Purpose: To create a safer environment for roadway users and reduce accidents and fatalities

County / City: County of Greenville

Program Type: Non-Guideshare

Funding Source: Safety

Remarks:

Existing Non-Guideshare Projects Currently in the TIP with Updated Schedule and Cost Estimates									
Activity	Previous	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	FY 2030-2034	TIP Total
PE	\$ 250								\$ -
ROW		\$ 50							\$ -
CONST			\$ 600						\$ 600
TOTAL	\$ 250	\$ 50	\$ 600	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 600

Insert Map

Project: SC-183 (Farrs Bridge Rd) / S-39-55 (Ireland Rd)

Total Cost (thousands): \$2,012 total (\$1,700 total cost between 2025 – 2034)

Description: Safety improvements along the corridor

Purpose: To create a safer environment for roadway users and reduce accidents and fatalities


County / City: County of Pickens

Program Type: Non-Guideshare

Funding Source: Safety

Remarks:

Existing Non-Guideshare Projects Currently in the TIP with Updated Schedule and Cost Estimates									
Activity	Previous	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	FY 2030-2034	TIP Total
PE	\$ 200								\$ -
ROW		\$ 112							\$ -
CONST			\$ 1,700						\$ 1,700
TOTAL	\$ 200	\$ 112	\$ 1,700	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,700



Project: US-25 / US-25 Conn

Total Cost (thousands): \$2,050 total (\$1,700 total cost between 2025 – 2034)

Description: Safety improvements along the corridor

Purpose: To create a safer environment for roadway users and reduce accidents and fatalities

County / City: County of Greenville

Program Type: Non-Guideshare

Funding Source: Safety

Remarks:

Existing Non-Guideshare Projects Currently in the TIP with Updated Schedule and Cost Estimates									
Activity	Previous	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	FY 2030-2034	TIP Total
PE	\$ 250								\$ -
ROW		\$ 100							\$ -
CONST			\$ 1,700						\$ 1,700
TOTAL	\$ 250	\$ 100	\$ 1,700	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,700

Insert Map

Project: SC-183 to MP 4.2 to 6 (Cedar Lane Rd)

Total Cost (thousands): \$2,251 total

Description: Safety improvements along the corridor

Purpose: To create a safer environment for roadway users and reduce accidents and fatalities

County / City: County of Greenville

Program Type: Non-Guideshare

Funding Source: Safety

Remarks:

Existing Non-Guideshare Projects Currently in the TIP with Updated Schedule and Cost Estimates									
Activity	Previous	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	FY 2030-2034	TIP Total
PE	\$ 250								\$ -
ROW		\$ 1							\$ -
CONST		\$ 2,000							\$ -
TOTAL	\$ 250	\$ 2,001	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -



Project: RSA US-29

Total Cost (thousands): \$4,501 total

Description: Safety improvements along the corridor

Purpose: To create a safer environment for roadway users and reduce accidents and fatalities

County / City: County of Greenville

Program Type: Non-Guideshare

Funding Source: Safety

Remarks:

Existing Non-Guideshare Projects Currently in the TIP with Updated Schedule and Cost Estimates									
Activity	Previous	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	FY 2030-2034	TIP Total
PE	\$ 500								\$ -
ROW		\$ 1							\$ -
CONST		\$ 4,000							\$ -
TOTAL	\$ 500	\$ 4,001	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -



Project: SC-291 N Pleasantburg Dr & White Oak Dr

Total Cost (thousands): \$150 total

Description: Safety improvements along the corridor

Purpose: To create a safer environment for roadway users and reduce accidents and fatalities


County / City: County of Greenville

Program Type: Non-Guideshare

Funding Source: Safety

Remarks:

Existing Non-Guideshare Projects Currently in the TIP with Updated Schedule and Cost Estimates									
Activity	Previous	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	FY 2030-2034	TIP Total
PE									\$ -
ROW									\$ -
CONST	\$ 150								\$ -
TOTAL	\$ 150	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -



Project: *US-29 Corridor Signal Retiming (US-29/Mills Ave, Church St, & Wade Hampton Blvd between Henrydale Ave & S-42-908/Gap Creek Rd)*

Total Cost (thousands): \$3,000 total

Description: Signal retiming along the corridor

Purpose: To increase system reliability


County / City: County of Greenville

Program Type: Non-Guideshare

Funding Source: NHS/IM

Remarks: Project is mostly complete, with additional study needed of US-29 per the RSA.

Existing Non-Guideshare Projects Currently in the TIP with Updated Schedule and Cost Estimates									
Activity	Previous	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	9	FY 2030-2034	TIP Total
PE	\$ 3,000								\$ -
ROW									\$ -
CONST									\$ -
TOTAL	\$ 3,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -



Project: *I-85 (Widening from SC-153 to Near SC-85) (I-85 between SC-153/Exit 40 & I-85 Business/Exit 69)*

Total Cost (thousands): \$780,220 total (\$714,500 of total cost between 2025 – 2034)

Description: Widen I-85 to 8 lanes from SC-153 (Exit 40) to near SC-85 (Exit 69)

Purpose: To increase system reliability


County / City: County of Greenville and County of Spartanburg

Program Type: Non-Guideshare

Funding Source: NHS/IM

Remarks: Project scope TBD once other statewide priorities have been fully-funded.

Existing Non-Guideshare Projects Currently in the TIP with Updated Schedule and Cost Estimates									
Activity	Previous	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	FY 2030-2034	TIP Total
PE	\$ 65,720								\$ -
ROW									\$ -
CONST								\$ 10,000	\$ 10,000
AC								\$ 714,500	\$ 714,500
ACC								\$ 704,500	\$ 704,500
TOTAL	\$ 65,720	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 714,500	\$ 714,500



Project: I-85 at I-385 (Exit 51)

Total Cost (thousands): \$300,737 total

Description: Design-build project to upgrade the I-85/I-385 interchange and to complete the 6 lane widening of I-385 between Butler Rd and Roper Mountain Rd

Purpose: To increase system reliability

County / City: County of Greenville

Program Type: Non-Guideshare

Funding Source: NHS/IM

Remarks: Project is nearing completion in 9/2020

Existing Non-Guideshare Projects Currently in the TIP with Updated Schedule and Cost Estimates									
Activity	Previous	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	FY 2030-2034	TIP Total
PE	\$ 14,000								\$ -
ROW									\$ -
CONS	\$ 270,000	\$ 16,737							\$ -
TOTAL	\$ 284,000	\$ 16,737	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -



Project: I-85 at Rocky Creek Bridge (I-85 between I-385 & Pelham Rd)

Total Cost (thousands): \$45,200 total

Description: Replacement of culvert under I-85 at Rocky Creek with a Bridge structure

Purpose: Improve stormwater flow for Rocky Creek under I-85, to be in compliance with FEMA floodplain requirements

County / City: County of Greenville

Program Type: Non-Guideshare

Funding Source: NHS/IM

Remarks: Project is awaiting the completion of I-85/385 Gateway to be constructed.

[illegible]

Project: S-664 (River Street/Richardson Street) MP 0.23 – MP 1.07

Total Cost (thousands): \$1,301 total

Description:

Purpose:

County / City: County of Greenville

Program Type: Non-Guideshare

Funding Source: Safety HSP

Remarks:

Existing Non-Guideshare Projects Currently in the TIP with Updated Schedule and Cost Estimates									
Activity	Previous	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	FY 2030-2034	TIP Total
PE		\$ 300							\$ -
ROW		\$ 1							\$ -
CONST			\$ 1,000						\$ 1,000
TOTAL	\$ -	\$ 301	\$ 1,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,000

-Insert Map-

Project: US 29 (Wade Hampton Boulevard) MP 11.3 – MP 12.06

Total Cost (thousands): \$2,301 total

Description:

Purpose:

County / City: County of Greenville

Program Type: Non-Guideshare

Funding Source: Safety HSP

Remarks:

Existing Non-Guideshare Projects Currently in the TIP with Updated Schedule and Cost Estimates									
Activity	Previous	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	FY 2030-2034	TIP Total
PE		\$ 300							\$ -
ROW			\$ 1						\$ 1
CONST				\$ 2,000					\$ 2,000
TOTAL	\$ -	\$ 300	\$ 1	\$ 2,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,001

-Insert Map-

Project: US 276 (Poinsett Highway) MP 32.38 – MP 33.24

Total Cost (thousands): \$5,301 total

Description:

Purpose:

County / City: County of Greenville

Program Type: Non-Guideshare

Funding Source: Safety HSP

Remarks:

Existing Non-Guideshare Projects Currently in the TIP with Updated Schedule and Cost Estimates									
Activity	Previous	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	FY 2030-2034	TIP Total
PE		\$ 300							\$ -
ROW		\$ 1							\$ -
CONST			\$ 5,000						\$ 5,000
TOTAL	\$ -	\$ 301	\$ 5,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 5,000

-Insert Map-

Federal Transit Administration (FTA) Apportionments

Activities for the GTA/Greenlink and Clemson Area Transit are subsidized by FTA Section 53 funds (see pages 15 and 16).

Transit Projects Funded through FTA Apportionment

Clemson Area Transit Project List					
Project	Description	Fiscal Year (FY)	Funding Source	Federal Match Amount	Source of Local Funds
Planning	Planning Activities	2022	5303 Annual Apportionment	\$ 80,000.00	City of Clemson/Partners
Capital		2022	5307 Annual Apportionment	\$ -	City of Clemson/Partners
Operating Assistance	Operating Support for Fixed Route Service	2022	5307 Annual Apportionment	\$ 837,276.00	City of Clemson/Partners
Enhancements/Improvements (Safety & Security)		2022	5307 Annual Apportionment	\$ -	City of Clemson/Partners
ADA		2022	5307 Annual Apportionment	\$ 11,630.00	City of Clemson/Partners
Planning Administration		2022	5307 Annual Apportionment	\$ -	City of Clemson/Partners
Preventative Maintenance	Preventative Maintenance and Vehicle Maintenance Activity	2022	5307 Annual Apportionment	\$ 701,848.00	City of Clemson/Partners
Bus and Bus Facilities	Bus purchases or facility improvements/updates	2022	5339 Annual Apportionment	\$ 118,387.75	City of Clemson/Partners
Operating Assistance & Preventative Maintenance	Preventative Maintenance and Vehicle Maintenance Activity	2022	SMTF Annual Apportionment	\$ 112,988.08	City of Clemson/Partners

Transit Projects Funded through FTA Apportionment continued

Greenville Transit Authority Project List					
Project	Description	Fiscal Year (FY)	Funding Source	Federal Match Amount	Source of Local Funds
Planning	Planning Activities	2022	5303 Annual Apportionment	\$ 80,000.00	Greenville County/City of Greenville/Philanthropic Contributions
Capital		2022	5307 Annual Apportionment Greenville UZA	\$ -	Greenville County/City of Greenville/Philanthropic Contributions
Operating Assistance	Operating Support for Fixed Route Service	2022	5307 Annual Apportionment Greenville UZA	\$ 2,921,434.51	Greenville County/City of Greenville/Philanthropic Contributions
Enhancements/Improvements (Safety & Security)		2022	5307 Annual Apportionment Greenville UZA	\$ -	Greenville County/City of Greenville/Philanthropic Contributions
ADA		2022	5307 Annual Apportionment Greenville UZA	\$ -	Greenville County/City of Greenville/Philanthropic Contributions
Planning Administration		2022	5307 Annual Apportionment Greenville UZA	\$ -	Greenville County/City of Greenville/Philanthropic Contributions
Preventative Maintenance	Preventative Maintenance and Vehicle Maintenance Activity	2022	5307 Annual Apportionment Greenville UZA	\$ -	Greenville County/City of Greenville/Philanthropic Contributions
Capital		2022	5307 Annual Apportionment Greenville UZA	\$ -	Greenville County/City of Greenville/Philanthropic Contributions
Capital	Operating Support for Fixed Route Service	2022	5307 Annual Apportionment Mauldin-Simpsonville UZA	\$ 2,665,729.00	Greenville County/City of Greenville/Philanthropic Contributions
Enhancements/Improvements (Safety & Security)		2022	5307 Annual Apportionment Mauldin-Simpsonville UZA	\$ -	Greenville County/City of Greenville/Philanthropic Contributions
ADA		2022	5307 Annual Apportionment Mauldin-Simpsonville UZA	\$ -	Greenville County/City of Greenville/Philanthropic Contributions
Planning Administration		2022	5307 Annual Apportionment Mauldin-Simpsonville UZA	\$ -	Greenville County/City of Greenville/Philanthropic Contributions
Preventative Maintenance	Preventative Maintenance and Vehicle Maintenance Activity	2022	5307 Annual Apportionment Mauldin-Simpsonville UZA	\$ -	Greenville County/City of Greenville/Philanthropic Contributions
Bus and Bus Facilities	Bus purchases or facility improvements/updates	2022	5339 Annual Apportionment	\$ 223,028.25	Greenville County/City of Greenville/Philanthropic Contributions
Operating Assistance & Preventative Maintenance	Operating Support for Fixed Route Service & Preventative Maintenance and Vehicle Maintenance Activity	2022	SMTF Annual Apportionment Greenville UZA	\$ 212,855.92	Greenville County/City of Greenville/Philanthropic Contributions
Operating Assistance & Preventative Maintenance	Operating Support for Fixed Route Service & Preventative Maintenance and Vehicle Maintenance Activity	2022	SMTF Annual Apportionment Mauldin-Simpsonville UZA	\$ 194,225.00	Greenville County/City of Greenville/Philanthropic Contributions

Transportation Alternatives

Transportation Alternative projects are funded by a 10 percent set aside from the Surface Transportation Program. These funds may only be spent on projects that fit one of 3 categories of eligible activities (see page 14).

FTA 2023 TA Approved Projects									
Activity	Previous	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	FY 2030-2034	TIP Total
TBD	GPATS is awaiting TA Funding Information from SCDOT								\$ -
									\$ -
									\$ -
TOTAL	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

Projects currently in TIP for TA Funding:

City of Easley – Brushy Creek Greenway Phase 1&2	\$534
City of Greenville – Woodruff Road Sidewalks	\$361
Anderson School District Four – Riverside Middle School Sidewalks	\$465
Greenville County – Poinsett Corridor Pedestrian and Landscaping	\$1,245
City of Greenville – Haywood Road Sidewalks	\$400
City of Fountain Inn – Woodside Parks Connector	\$799
Town of Central – Southern Wesleyan University Pedestrian/Bike Connection	\$643
City of Greer – Wards Creek Trail Phase 1	\$1,090

Transportation Alternatives Projects									
Activity	Previous	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	FY 2030-2034	TIP Total
City of Easley – Brushy Creek Greenway Phase 1&2	\$ 534								\$ -
City of Greenville – Woodruff Road Sidewalks	\$ 361								\$ -
Anderson School District Four – Riverside Middle School Sidewalks	\$ 465								\$ -
Greenville County – Poinsett Corridor Pedestrian and Landscaping	\$ 1,245								\$ -
City of Greenville - Haywood Rd Sidewalks	\$ 400								\$ -
City of Fountain Inn - Woodside Parks Connector	\$ 799								\$ -
Town of Central - Southern Wesleyan University to Town of Central Pedestrian/Bike	\$ 643								
City of Greer - Wards Creek Trail Phase 1	\$ 1,090								
TOTAL	\$ 5,537	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

TIP Notice

NOTICE TO PUBLISH

PUBLIC NOTICE

The Greenville-Pickens Area Transportation Study (GPATS) pursuant to the requirements of the Fixing America's Surface Transportation Act (FAST Act), gives notice of the following action. Effective **Date Notice is Effective**, GPATS will accept public review and comment on amendments to the 2025-2034 Greenville-Pickens Area Transportation Study (GPATS) Transportation Improvement Program (TIP). This TIP outlines the federally funded transportation projects that GPATS is considering for the next ten (10) years and serves as our plan to improve transportation services in the Greenville-Pickens community. The TIP also includes funding to support public transit services provided by Clemson Area Transit (CAT) & Greenville Transit Authority (GTA) dba Greenlink within the Greenville urbanized area.

Public review and comments will be received until _____ or 21 days from the effective date of this notice. Copies of the document and additional information concerning this action are available upon request at the address cited below.

This public notice and the time established for public review of and comments on the TIP satisfies CAT & GTA's Section 5307 Program of Project (POP) requirements.

Written comments may be sent to:

- **GPATS** - Asangwua Ikein, Transit Planner/Grants Manager, 301 University Ridge, Suite S-3200, Greenville, SC 29601, Alkein@greenvillecounty.org
- **CAT** - Heather Lollis, Budget & Grants Administrator, 200 West Lane, Clemson, SC 29631, hlollis@cityofclemson.org
- **GTA** - Kayleigh Cleek, Transit Planning Manager, 100 W. McBee Ave, Greenville, SC 29601, kcleek@greenvillesc.gov

Any written comments submitted may be reviewed by the public at the addresses above, Monday through Friday, between the hours of 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

Senator Rex Rice
Chairman, GPATS Policy Board